

**CITY OF WILDOMAR
CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
FEBRAURY 25, 2009**

The regular meeting of February 25, 2009 of the Wildomar City Council was called to order by Mayor Scott Farnam at 7:00 P.M.

Roll Call showed the following Council Members in attendance: Mayor Scott Farnam, Mayor Pro Tem Bridgette Moore, Council Members Sheryl Ade, Bob Cashman and Marsha Swanson.

Staff in attendance: City Manager John Danielson, Assistant City Manager Terry Fitzwater, City Attorney Julie Hayward Biggs, Development Services Director Michael Kashiwagi, Planning Director Gary Wayne, Finance Director Misty Cheng and City Clerk Sheryll Schroeder.

FLAG SALUTE:

Flag salute was led by Council Member Cashman.

PRESENTATIONS:

A Certificate of Appreciation was presented by Mayor Farnam to retiring Mission Trail Librarian, Jennie Jackson.

Rick Bishop, Executive Director, presented a powerpoint overview of the benefits and activities of the Western Riverside Council of Governments.

Lake Elsinore High School Future Farmers of America presented their projects for the 2009 Riverside County Fair.

Deniene Husted, Communications Director for the Green Valley Initiative gave an overview of the initiative.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC:

Nancy Hunzeker explained that there was extreme damage from flooding on Bryant Road, even though it was a private road, she requested assistance to repair the road, citing safety issues.

Council Member Ade explained that staff would look at the issues and get back to Ms. Hunseker.

Gerald Hall, speaking on Item I E., noted that when road contractors get to a certain point, he saw that the workers just sat. He felt the contractors should be held accountable for cost overruns. He explained that there should be payment penalties for unjustified completion dates.

Gary Morris, speaking on Item I E., asked who on staff he could work with before the item returned to Council. Mayor Farnam said he should work with Public Works Director Kashiwagi.

1. CONSENT CALENDAR:

City Clerk Schroeder announced that there was a change to the staff report on Item 1 D., Savon should be Rite Aid and that staff had requested Item 1 E. be removed from the agenda for further work.

Council Member Swanson moved to approve the Consent Calendar, Items 1 A. through 1 I. with the two changes as noted by the Clerk, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Moore.

Council Member Cashman, speaking on Item 1H., asked when the City would take over the parks. He said the contract on Item 1H would be working on the parks.

City Manager Danielson explained that the item was dependent on the acceptance by the full Council of the Parks and Recreation Committee's recommendation which was later on the agenda. He requested the Council consider increasing the hours with Ms. Willette, explaining that the contract would be revenue neutral.

Roll Call vote on the Consent Calendar: - Ayes: 5. Nays: 0. Motion carried.

- 1 A. Approved the reading by title only of all ordinances.
- 1 B. Approved Adjourned Regular City Council Minutes dated February 11, 2009.
- 1 C. Approved Warrant Registers dated February 12, 2009 in the amount of \$100.00 and February 25, 2009 in the amount of \$40,223.51.

- 1 D. Adopted Resolution No. 09-10, approving Final Parcel Map 36084 – Shops at Bear Creek (08-0056)

RESOLUTION NO. 09-10 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WILDOMAR, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING PARCEL MAP NO. 36084 – SHOPS AT BEAR CREEK (08-0056)

- 1 E. **NOT APPROVED, REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA FOR ADDITIONAL STAFF WORK** – Consider approval and authorized the Mayor to execute a Road Maintenance Agreement between the City of Lake Elsinore and the City of Wildomar to provide road maintenance on portions of Lost Road and Navajo Springs Road.
- 1 F. Authorized the City Manager to negotiate and enter into an agreement with National Demographics Corporation to identify the project elements and provide a proposal for electing Council representatives by district.
- 1 G. Authorized the City Manager to select, finalize and execute a contract for secondary supplemental insurance with the Exec-u-Care program.
- 1 H. Authorized the City Manager to modify, execute and sign a contract with consultant Paula Willette for Events Coordinator.
- 1 I. Authorized the City Manager to develop, execute and sign a contract with consultant Gary Nordquist for Senior Level accounting management services.

2. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

- 2 A. Case No: General Plan Amendment No. 762 (GPA762), Change of Zone 7207 (CZ7207), Tentative Tract Map No. 33987 (TR33987) and Environmental Assessment (E.A.) No. 40319.
- Applicant: Glen Daigle
- Location: South of La Estrella Street, east of Interstate-15, and north of Glazebrook Road in the City of Wildomar.
- Proposal(s): GPA762: Proposes to change Lots one (1), two (2) and the remainder parcel from Community Development: Medium Density Residential and Community Development: Commercial Office to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential.
- CZ7207: Change the existing zoning of lot one (1) from Rural Residential (R-R) to General Residential (R-3), lot two (2) and the remainder parcel

from Rural Residential (R-R) to Watercourse, Watershed & Conservation Areas (W-1), and lots three (3) and four (4) from Rural Residential (R-R) to Commercial Office (C-O).

TR33987: A subdivision to divide 24.37 acres into four (4) lots with a remainder parcel. Lot one consists of 81 Condominium units on 8.95 gross acres, Lot two and the remainder parcel consists of 2.84 acres of open space. Lot three is comprised of 9.82 acres and Lot four, comprised of 1.95 acres both are designated for future commercial development.

Environmental

Action: In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment; there will not be a significant effect in this case because a MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be adopted.

Staff report was presented by Planning Director Gary Wayne. He expressed several concerns. First was the land use concern. He noted that this project would be the only medium high density general plan in the area, this being a single lot, almost like a spot zone. The same with the R-3 zone, surrounded by an R-1 zone and then commercial and business park to the north, he added. Staff's concern was establishing a precedent in the area without adequate study to increase densities. The second concern he expressed was a general plan designation and proposed zoning for commercial-office that begs the question on access because it has to take commercial traffic out a residential neighborhood. He noted the project was conditioned by the County to construct La Estrella to the east, however, the review of the conditions and review of the documentation submitted by the applicant to Planning, Engineering and the City Attorney's office, staff did not feel there was sufficient binding to insure that this access could be secured all the way to George. Mr. Wayne explained that this would force all the traffic down and out Depasqualle, forcing it out west to the private street, which was not designed for gating, it would be open by the park. He also noted that the County had some concerns about the intersection spacing. Recognizing the concerns, staff had presented Council with several options, listed on the staff report.

Mayor Farnam opened the public hearing.

Glen Daigle, developer, noted the project had been under review and changes for two and one half years. He explained that in three years working on the project, he had no letters of

objection to the project. Regarding the staff report, he said he had no problem with staff alternative number two on page seven, moving only the access point currently shown on Glazebrook and engineering would have to approve because the County would not allow a driveway there because it was too close to neighboring intersections. He asked that engineering review it before they changed drawings. He expressed that he had a major problem with alternative three, eliminate commercial office. He said it would not be just his project but also about one hundred acres to the north, south of Baxter, east of Interstate 15 that were zoned on the general plan as business-park. He said if it was not compatible to have commercial-office or a business park project if there is residential nearby, then the City would have the same problem all the way up on that side of the freeway on Baxter. He said there were ways to do it effectively, one was Hancock Drive in Murrieta which connects Los Alamos and Murrieta Hot Springs, one side was residential, and one side was commercial. He said there were a lot of people planning on that area being business park, office commercial and he would fight any attempt to downzone his property to residential. He explained that he looked at medium high density on the east side of the project as a good transition zoning, it being a logical transition to go from a three story office building, two story townhomes and the next property be medium density residential. He said he agreed with the Planning Director that medium density residential should not occur everywhere, but they felt this was an appropriate and wise place for it. He added that they would have no problem adding private yards for the ground floor units as recommended by staff, noting that the County did not want it. Regarding the condition of approval 109, he said staff did not want the City to have the obligation to help by using the power of eminent domain, which he said he understood, but this was the necessary right of way for La Estrella which would be a secondary access for the project. He explained that some of the right of way was there on the neighbor to the east, some of the right of way was necessary from two parcels west of the recently acquired park property, referring to the property the County purchased from D.R. Horton Homebuilder. He explained the two parcels, they had only letters of intent, they did not and never said they had binding documents, the County only required letters of intent to take the project to public hearing. He said they would offer, as the City would do, fair market value for the properties, but if someone did not want to sell, and the reason was not price, he knew of no other way to get the right of way but through eminent domain. He asked that staff re-write the condition to allow eminent domain at the expense of the applicant. He said the other alternative staff offers in condition 109 was to re-design the project, which he said the only re-design that wouldn't require secondary access would be if he had three houses on twenty four acres, which he said would not work. In finalizing, he said he knew Council had a few alternatives presented by staff and if Council desired to continue the hearing, he asked that it be

continued to a date certain, time was of the essence to him, he had financing concerns and the last time it was continued, it took three months.

Council Member Swanson asked Mr. Daigle to show her where he was indicating his main access was.

Gloria Carroll spoke in support of the project as designed. She said neighbors that support the project could not be there that evening and asked her to speak of their support, noting she turned in speaker slips on their behalf. She added that she felt the project would be good for the area, noting her property was in back of the project.

Norman Carroll said he did not wish to speak but also supported the project.

Speaker cards turned in by Ms. Carroll in support of the project were Olivia Gomez and Robert Willingham, who did not wish to speak.

Comments from Council, Council Member Swanson asked how many units per acre were proposed.

Planning Director Wayne responded that based on gross acreage, and if lot 2 was included, it would be 7.55.

Council Member Cashman posed the question, how does something start out at a lower density and end up at a higher density. He answered by saying it happens incrementally, first one project is approved at a density, then the next one is approved at a higher density. He said he did not want to set a precedent, that anytime someone brings a project, they would be looking for a higher density. He stated his concern on this project was the roads, that the project did not go into Bayless, so that meant that there was no road going north, it was offset from the existing road and no plans in the future of a road to go north. He said it seemed that the area should be sealed off and go south only because the City did not have the land or the road. He expressed concern over the access point, but since the developer said he would take care of it, it was no longer a problem. If the project proceeds, Council Member Cashman said the developer needed to get permission from the owners because he felt eminent domain was inappropriately used for private development. He questioned whether the city would take people's rights to their properties because they wanted a higher development, he stated he hoped not. He finalized that he saw benefit to the project also.

A property owner said she supported the project.

Mayor Farnam disclosed that he met with the developer and consulted with staff on the project. He said he supported the project in concept and was sympathetic to the time spent going through the County. He said he was fine with the medium density residential, it was a good buffer between commercial office and the higher density use. He explained that he believed that every project needed to stand on its own and be evaluated individually. He clarified that he was a proponent of private property rights and not a fan of eminent domain although sometimes it was needed if it benefited the public. He asked staff that when reviewing future projects, he wished to see a circulation map with the project. He said with the layout of the project, it would force the City to amend the circulation to make it work. When speaking of eminent domain, he said he realized it was important to re-align Baxter, from Interstate 15 down to Palomar. He explained that the property owners were not going to be happy about giving up some frontage to widen Baxter for public access and to take out the dangerous curve, adding that someday the City may have to consider that. He added that La Estrella was the same way, this would complete some of the circulation in the city and if the property owners were not willing to work with the city, then eminent domain may have to be used. He indicated that he was considering Option Two from the staff report. He added that he wanted to see private yards and if the project moved forward then he would like to condition that if, and only if everything else was considered, the City has to consider eminent domain, that the cost would be barred by the applicant.

Council Members Cashman disclosed he had also met with the developer.

City Attorney Biggs explained that the subdivision map act contained a provision that said explicitly that if you condition a map on the developer acquiring property in order to complete the condition and the developer is not able to acquire it, can't negotiate it, whatever, the City had an obligation to step in and use its power of eminent domain. She explained that she knew the condition had been re-drafted to attempt to eliminate that but that was a provision of the subdivision map act that probably preempts the condition that had been drafted. She concluded that Council may be put in the position, as outlined, where the developer cannot acquire the property and the City will have to step in and the developer will have to pay cost of doing it, but that nevertheless requires the City to exercise its power of eminent domain.

Council Member Ade reviewed the history of the project, noting that she saw at least four different iterations. She noted that the first draft had no commercial-office and the developer was opposed to having commercial-office, he felt it was not appropriate that Bayless or a street come down from Baxter and dead end at commercial-office. She continued that along with the history of the project, there were 89 acres to the north that a developer was acquiring and they proposed a master planned community so when Mr.

Daigle's project was being considered it was in conjunction with the other project and the other project north of La Estrella, they were planning on small lot single family homes also at medium high density. She noted that when the County looked at the project, it was different than what the City was seeing today. She expressed that the land use was somewhat chopped up, the City lost the ability to have a frontage road when the Van Daele property was expanded, that being part of the reason there was problems with this project.

She felt the area along the freeway was not suitable for housing that she did not have a problem with commercial-office in that area, adding that there should be a buffer between commercial-office and medium density and typically that was the medium high density. She questioned using area two, that being watercourse which could not be built on, using that acreage to come out with their average calling it open space; she did not feel watercourse was open space. She asked what the "R" area was, medium density one acre, asking about access to that. Since this was a phased project, she asked if there was a date set for development of the commercial-office part of the project.

Planning Director Wayne answered no. Regarding the watercourse area, if that lot was excluded and you went out to the centerline of the streets for gross acreage, it was about eight units per acre.

Council Member Ade continued saying she understood the frustrations of the developer and asked if he was planning on building the condominiums to which he responded no. She informed that the residents that spoke in favor of the project were going to sell their property and would not be around when the project was built. La Estrella needed to be completed and she expressed some concern with it curving down to meet Depasqualle and concerned with Depasqualle not lining up with Bayless and very concerned about the access onto Glazebrook and into the Van Daele neighborhood with a park right there. She explained that the residents of the Van Daele project were paying the maintenance of the park through their HOA fees and she knew the residents would complain. She noted an earlier rendition of the project had access onto Depasqualle and she felt if La Estrella went straight instead of curving down, that mitigate the problem. She clarified that she was not opposed to the project; she just felt the problems could not be ignored. She said she felt the project could proceed if the City could work with the applicant on addressing the access and look at the whole area as well. Regarding approving the medium high density, she said she thought the Council had the right to say to a future development, it was approved because of specific reasons, it did not mean it had to be approved for every property north of La Estrella.

City Attorney Biggs said that was correct, but it did make it more of a challenge once a precedent was set.

Council Member Ade said the Council really needed to see a greater area map. She said staff needed to look at the area also; maybe using Bayless was not the best solution.

Mr. Daigel responded that they agreed to move the access as long as engineering agreed with putting it on Depasqualle. Regarding the statement that the residents speaking in favor of the project because they want to sell their property, he said the residents were not developers so they had no choice but to sell their properties. He noted that Council Member Ade was correct, at one point the project was completely residential and because there was more business park to the north of the project, that caused them to rethink their project. He said he checked the dedication of Bayless and it did exist from the corner of Peggy Lane to an eighth of a mile from their northern boundary even though it was a dirt road. He said there was a missing piece of right of way and indicated where it was on the map. He added that both Depasqualle and La Estralla were general planned circulation element roads.

Council Member Ade said she felt the condos should have some sort of small yard or patio. She referred to a project where the County required some open space recreation area without amenities. She wondered if the detention basin area could be utilized for the same purpose. Her final comment was that the Council needed to look at the entire area, if the project develops; there was still the problem of traffic going through a residential area. She asked if the Council and staff could take a month and look at the whole area and bring the project back.

Planning Director Wayne said it could be done; circulation, zoning and general plan land use designation without a lot of additional study could be done within 30 or 60 days.

Mayor Farnam closed the public hearing.

Council Member Swanson agreed with comments from Council Member Ade and overall she liked the project.

Council Member Cashman moved to continue the project to give staff time to provide a brief look at the circulation element and return in 45 days.

Council Member Ade moved to amend the motion to return within 30 days.

City Manager Danielson said since Council was delving into policy issues, his concern was that if it went straight to staff and the developer, there may not be the policy oversight and

maybe there should be an ad hoc committee that takes no more than 30 days to see if there are some alternatives that might work and give staff guidance.

Mayor Farnam said he had some concerns with that, since the project had been in the system for so long, he felt that the Council could move forward so the developer had some level of comfort, to take some steps forward and yet still work out some issues.

Council Member Swanson said she would be in favor of Option Two.

Planning Director Wayne said there was one problem with Option Two, if the Council, in the long run, desires La Estrella to come down Depasqualle and intersect it on the other side of the number four, with the zone change and the general plan amendment, that would muddy it. He said he thought what he heard from Council was a desire for zone change and a general plan amendment that would allow some configuration that would approve the project to be redesigned, to change the access and the yards. He said staff had discussed that with the applicant and the applicant said he didn't really want to lose number four as commercial office. He said that was one of the options that staff discussed with the applicant, straightening out La Estrella and maybe even pulling in lot four into residential and at the time, the problem was spreading the units and not changing the zoning, but what he said he was hearing was okay to change the zoning and general plan which would then allow some more units on the other side of where La Estrella was now.

Council Member Ade said she felt they needed to look at the larger area, there was a road going nowhere. She noted she was not trying to hold up the project.

Planning Director Wayne said he spoke with the City's supervising engineer and he was okay with the geometry. He explained that the dividing line between business park and residential was a line that went north from the intersection of Glazebrook and Depasqualle.

She noted that to the east of Bayless there were two parcels that were business park, abutting medium density residential. She suggested another option or motion would be to provide other direction to staff. She asked if Council approved the zone change and general plan amendment, could other changes be made.

Planning Director Wayne said as long as those alignments were not fully established, but it was not preferable.

Mayor Farnam said he was concerned with the access out of the condominium project into the residential collector street and he would like to see the ingress and egress changed to another location that did not empty out into a residential area collector street. He believed

that the Council could approve the zone change and general plan amendment and condition that the private residential collector street be redesigned.

Mayor Farnam moved to approve Alternative Number Two as stated in the staff report and that the City work on new ingress and egress to remove the existing ingress and egress that goes to the south private collector street. He wished to see a condition that the units have some sort of private yards or patios and if there was eminent domain, it would be at the cost of the applicant. Motion seconded by Council Member Swanson.

The Alternative Number Two was: Modify the Access to the Condominium Project. Approve the General Plan Amendment and Change of Zone applications and add an additional condition of approval to the tract map and condominium project and requiring the Applicant to submit revised condominium plans with modified access points to the Planning Director. A sample condition that would satisfy this requirement is as follows: *“Within 60 days of the approval of the tentative tract map, the Applicant shall submit revised condominium plans to the Planning Director which modifies the project access points to avoid directing project traffic onto local residential streets and improves the desirability and livability of the project as needed.”*

Council Member Cashman noted there was no road going north out of the project; he felt it needed to be covered before moving forward.

Council Member Ade moved to amend Mayor Farnam’s motion to “take 30 days to look at the larger area to make sure we don’t have a fatal flaw in this area”.

Council Member Swanson asked Council Member Ade if her motion was asking Council not to approve it for 30 days and Council Member Ade responded yes, adding that she wished to hold off on a decision until they looked at the larger area.

City Attorney Biggs interjected that she believed the amendment was, before Council approved the project as identified in Option Two, Council would take 30 days to study the area to make sure they really wanted to approve it. She said it was an amendment that would delay any decision for 30 days and then a decision would be outlined in Option Two.

Mayor Farnam called for a second to the amendment, which was given by Council Member Cashman.

Roll call vote on the amendment: Ayes – 2, Council Members Ade and Cashman. Nays – 3, Mayor Farnam and Council Members Moore and Swanson. Motion defeated.

Mayor Farnam repeated the original motion, to approve Alternative Two.

City Attorney Biggs mentioned that if Council approved Alternative Two, they will have the redesign to consider in the future but the approvals will stand and the City will have granted some rights that do not currently apply to the property. She clarified that the City would have given some entitlements that were not currently on the property and will be bound by them. She said they will have 60 days to come back with a plan; Council will have approved the project, everything other than the circulation.

Mayor Farnam repeated his motion to approve Alternative Two, modify the access to the condominium project, approve the general plan amendment and zone change applications, the applicant will work with staff to move the ingress and egress at the south end of the project from emptying into the residential collector street, ask that the condominium have some private yards and/or patios and that the applicant understands that if eminent domain is necessary, it would be at the cost of the applicant and only after all avenues have been exhausted. Motion seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Moore. Roll call vote: Ayes – 3, Mayor Farnam, Mayor Pro Tem Moore, Council Member Swanson. Nays – 2, Council Members Ade and Cashman. Motion carried.

City Attorney Biggs mentioned the Council would need to consider approval of a resolution for the environmental assessment and the document before Council encompasses the tentative tract map. She suggested the resolution should delete reference to the tract map.

City Clerk Schroeder read the title of Resolution No. 09-11.

RESOLUTION NO. 09-11 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WILDOMAR ADOPTING THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE PROJECT DESCRIBED IN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 40319 FOR GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT 762, CHANGE OF ZONE 7207

Mayor Pro Tem Moore moved to approve Resolution No. 09-11, seconded Council Member Swanson. Roll call vote: Ayes: 3, Mayor Farnam, Mayor Pro Tem Moore, Council Member Swanson. Nays: 1, Council Member Cashman. Abstention: 1, Council Member Ade. Motion carried.

City Clerk Schroeder read the title of Resolution No. 09-12.

RESOLUTION NO. 09-12 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WILDOMAR APPROVING GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 762 TO CHANGE THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATIONS FROM MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL OFFICE TO MEDIUM HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL FOR LOT 1 OF TENTATIVE TRACT MAP 33987 LOCATIONS ON PORTIONS OF PROPERTIES WITH ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBERS OF 376-410-002 AND 376-410-024

Council Member Swanson moved to approve Resolution No. 09-12, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Moore. Roll call vote: Ayes: - 3, Mayor Farnam, Mayor Pro Tem Moore, Council Member Swanson. Nays: 2, Council Members Ade, Cashman. Motion carried.

City Clerk Schroeder read the title of Ordinance No. 23.

ORDINANCE NO. 23 (Introduction and first reading) AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WILDOMAR APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF THE CITY OF WILDOMAR FOR LOT 1 AND A REMAINDER PARCEL OF TENTATIVE TRACT MAP 33987 FROM RURAL RESIDENTIAL TO MEDIUM HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL AND FOR LOT 2 OF TENTATIVE TRACT MAP 33987 FROM RURAL RESIDENTIAL TO WATERCOURSE, WATERSHED & CONSERVATION AREA, AND FOR LOTS 3 AND 4 FROM RURAL RESIDENTIAL TO COMMERCIAL OFFICE FOR PROPERTIES IDENTIFIED WITH ASSESSORS PARCEL NUMBERS 376-410-002 AND 376-410-024

Mayor Pro Tem Moore moved to introduce and hold first reading of Ordinance No. 23, seconded by Council Member Swanson. Roll call vote: Ayes: - 3, Mayor Farman, Mayor Pro Tem Moore, Council Member Swanson. Nays: 2, Council Members Ade and Cashman. Motion carried.

3. GENERAL BUSINESS ITEMS:

- 3 A. Receive and file the February 24, 2009 Park and Recreation Subcommittee report to Council and recommended Council action.

No public comments were heard for this item.

Mayor Pro Tem Moore presented the draft 2009 Event Schedule. She explained every event was flexible.

Council Member Cashman asked when the City would take responsibility for the parks and recreation.

Council Member Swanson said she saw no point in taking it over right now, the event schedule was a beginning and within the City's budget.

Council Member Cashman said the point was the taxes, how was the money being spent and where was it coming from. Also knowing which parks the City had and how much of the parks the City had. He said he agreed with the event schedule.

Mayor Farnam agreed with Council Member Cashman, they needed to look at the preformed and how much the City could take on.

Council Member Ade mentioned that some of that discussion would come up during budget discussions.

City Manager Danielson said Council Member Cashman was correct, the City needed to know what they were paying for and are they complying with the wishes of the subcommittee. Regarding Council Member Cashman's question, as to when it would be time to convert, he said it was obvious if the Council was not getting the quality delivered, the City always had the ability to say they wanted to do something different.

Mayor Pro Tem Moore said the subcommittee would evaluate every event.

Council Member Swanson noted the equipment they had including vans, things the City would not have the funds to purchase for some time.

Council Member Cashman moved to approve the Park and Recreation Subcommittee Report of the 2009 event schedule, seconded by Council Member Swanson. Roll call vote: Ayes: 5. Nays: 0. Motion carried.

3 B. Strategic Visioning Report and provide necessary direction to staff.

No public comments were heard for this item.

City Manager Danielson presented a power point presentation on the draft document.

Mayor Farnam thanked the community for participating in the strategic visioning project.

Mayor Pro Tem Moore asked that any changes be to the City Manager by Friday.

The Council accepted the report by consensus as a visioning statement and any changes were to be to the City Manger by Friday of that week.

3 C. Council to provide staff with direction regarding the City logo submittals.

No public comments were heard for this item

Mayor Farnam thanked the community for the many logo submittals, which were displayed in the Chambers.

Council Member Swanson suggested they be displayed at the Chamber networking breakfast, possibly a ballot box to select what people liked. She added it may not be how

the Council selected the logo but it would narrow it down. She added that they could possibly be displayed at the Chamber mixer.

Council Member Ade said originally it was the Council's decision, she asked if it was being changed.

Council Member Swanson said she did not want to make it binding but wanted to see what the community liked, but she wanted the Council to make the decision.

Mayor Farnam suggested that if the Council could not come to a decision this date, he recommended a Council ad hoc committee to work with staff and possibly a graphic designer, take some of the ideas including the current logo.

Council Member Cashman suggested that an artist take some of the submittals and turn three or four into possible logos for the Council to look at.

Mayor Farnam suggested the Council pick one or two and work with a graphic artist to provide some for finals. He also said each submittal should receive a certificate of appreciation from the City.

Mayor Pro Tem moved to form an ad hoc committee to work with the City Manager and key staff members to bring back suggestions within 30 days. Roll call vote: Ayes – 5. Motion carried.

Mayor Farnam and Council Member Cashman volunteered for the ad hoc committee.

CITY MANAGER REPORT:

City Manager Danielson asked the City Engineer to announce his public meeting. Mr. Kashiwagi announced that next Thursday, March 5, 2009 would be a community meeting to discuss the potential opening of Wesley Street. He said notices were sent to all the residents within 1,000 feet and it was posted on the City's web site.

Council Member Ade asked why it was being held at the high school and Mr. Kashiwagi answered that they were using a workshop forum with displays and the Chambers was too small. Also that it was closer to Wesley Street, which would make it more convenient.

Mr. Danielson thanked Misty Cheng for her service and he introduced Mr. Gary Nordquist as the new Finance Director.

Mayor Farnam announced the flag pole dedication for Thursday morning at 8:00 A.M.

CITY ATTORNEY REPORT:

City Attorney Biggs had no report.

COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS:

Council Member Swanson announced the Valley Education Foundation Golf Tournament fund raiser on March 13, 2009 and that Rotary Club had been formed with 20 members, which met on Thursdays at 11:30 A.M. She announced that Mayor Pro Tem Moore was selected as Volunteer of the Year by the Chamber.

Mayor Pro Tem Moore announced the next day was a program by SAFE, Sexual Assault Felony Enforcement, at 7:00 P.M. She announced that the web site ad hoc committee met and the new site should be ready in a couple of weeks.

Council Member Cashman asked that for a future agenda the Council should look at selected areas for circulation problems.

Mayor Farnam suggested that it be added to a future norming session.

Council Member Ade mentioned a book to register cities to be available for federal assistance.

Mayor Farnam informed he had information on a donate life walk/run taking place in Fullerton.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

Mayor Farnam reminded staff to return item 1 E., road maintenance agreement for Lost Road to the next agenda. He asked if there had been any contact from Animal Friends of the Valley JPA. City Manager Danielson said he would look into it.

Mayor Farnam announced the winners at the recent Chamber of Commerce installation dinner.

ADJOURNMENT:

No further business to come before the Council, Mayor Farnam adjourned the meeting at 10:05 P.M.

Respectfully submitted:

Sheryll Schroeder, MMC
City Clerk