

5. Environmental Analysis

5.5 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

This section of the draft environmental impact report (DEIR) evaluates the potential for implementation of the I-15 Corridor Campus Master Plan to cumulatively contribute to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions impacts. Because no single project is large enough to result in a measurable increase in global concentrations of GHG emissions, climate change impacts of a project are considered on a cumulative basis.

This evaluation is based on the methodology recommended by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). Transportation-sector impacts are based on average daily vehicle trips associated with the project and vehicle miles traveled provided by IBI Group (see Appendix I). Overall GHG emissions are quantified using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), Version 2016.3.1. Modeling output sheets for the project are included in Appendix C of this DEIR.

Terminology

The following are definitions for terms used throughout this section.

- **Greenhouse gases (GHG).** Gases in the atmosphere that absorb infrared light, thereby retaining heat in the atmosphere and contributing to a greenhouse effect.
- **Global warming potential (GWP).** Metric used to describe how much heat a molecule of a greenhouse gas absorbs relative to a molecule of carbon dioxide (CO₂) over a given period of time (20, 100, and 500 years). CO₂ has a GWP of 1.
- **Carbon dioxide-equivalent (CO₂e).** The standard unit to measure the amount of greenhouse gases in terms of the amount of CO₂ that would cause the same amount of warming. CO₂e is based on the GWP ratios between the various GHGs relative to CO₂.
- **MTCO₂e.** Metric ton of CO₂e.
- **MMTCO₂e.** Million metric tons of CO₂e.

5.5.1 Environmental Setting

Greenhouse Gases and Climate Change

Scientists have concluded that human activities are contributing to global climate change by adding large amounts of heat-trapping gases, known as GHGs, to the atmosphere. The primary source of these GHGs is fossil fuel use. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has identified four major GHGs—water vapor, carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and ozone (O₃)—that are the likely cause of an increase in global average temperatures observed in the 20th and 21st centuries. Other GHGs identified by the IPCC that contribute to global warming to a lesser extent are nitrous oxide (N₂O), sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆),

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and chlorofluorocarbons (IPCC 2001).^{1,2} The major GHGs are briefly described below.

- **Carbon dioxide (CO₂)** enters the atmosphere through the burning of fossil fuels (oil, natural gas, and coal), solid waste, trees and wood products, and respiration, and also as a result of other chemical reactions (e.g., manufacture of cement). Carbon dioxide is removed from the atmosphere (sequestered) when it is absorbed by plants as part of the biological carbon cycle.
- **Methane (CH₄)** is emitted during the production and transport of coal, natural gas, and oil. Methane emissions also result from livestock and other agricultural practices and from the decay of organic waste in landfills and water treatment facilities.
- **Nitrous oxide (N₂O)** is emitted during agricultural and industrial activities as well as during the combustion of fossil fuels and solid waste.
- **Fluorinated gases** are synthetic, strong GHGs that are emitted from a variety of industrial processes. Fluorinated gases are sometimes used as substitutes for ozone-depleting substances. These gases are typically emitted in smaller quantities, but because they are potent GHGs, they are sometimes referred to as high global-warming-potential (GWP) gases.
 - **Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs)** are GHGs covered under the 1987 Montreal Protocol and used for refrigeration, air conditioning, packaging, insulation, solvents, or aerosol propellants. Since they are not destroyed in the lower atmosphere (troposphere), CFCs drift into the upper atmosphere where, given suitable conditions, they break down the ozone layer. These gases are therefore being replaced by other compounds that are GHGs covered under the Kyoto Protocol.
 - **Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)** are a group of human-made chemicals composed of carbon and fluorine only. These chemicals (predominantly perfluoromethane [CF₄] and perfluoroethane [C₂F₆]) were introduced as alternatives, along with hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), to ozone-depleting substances. In addition, PFCs are emitted as by-products of industrial processes and are used in manufacturing. PFCs do not harm the stratospheric ozone layer, but they have a high GWP.
 - **Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF₆)** is a colorless gas soluble in alcohol and ether, and slightly soluble in water. SF₆ is a strong GHG used primarily in electrical transmission and distribution systems as an insulator.

¹ Water vapor (H₂O) is the strongest GHG and the most variable in its phases (vapor, cloud droplets, ice crystals). However, water vapor is not considered a pollutant because it is considered part of the feedback loop rather than a primary cause of change.

² Black carbon contributes to climate change both directly, by absorbing sunlight, and indirectly, by depositing on snow (making it melt faster) and by interacting with clouds and affecting cloud formation. Black carbon is the most strongly light-absorbing component of particulate matter (PM) emitted from burning fuels such as coal, diesel, and biomass. Reducing black carbon emissions globally can have immediate economic, climate, and public health benefits. California has been an international leader in reducing emissions of black carbon, with close to 95 percent control expected by 2020 due to existing programs that target reducing PM from diesel engines and burning activities (CARB 2017b). However, state and national GHG inventories do not include black carbon due to ongoing work resolving the precise global warming potential of black carbon. Guidance for CEQA documents does not yet include black carbon.

5. Environmental Analysis GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

- *Hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs)* contain hydrogen, fluorine, chlorine, and carbon atoms. Although they are ozone-depleting substances, they are less potent than CFCs. They have been introduced as temporary replacements for CFCs.
- *Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)* contain only hydrogen, fluorine, and carbon atoms. They were introduced as alternatives to ozone-depleting substances to serve many industrial, commercial, and personal needs. HFCs are emitted as by-products of industrial processes and are also used in manufacturing. They do not significantly deplete the stratospheric ozone layer, but they are strong GHGs. (IPCC 1995; EPA 2017)

GHGs are dependent on the lifetime, or persistence, of the gas molecule in the atmosphere. Some GHGs have a stronger greenhouse effect than others. These are referred to as high GWP gases. The GWP of GHG emissions are shown in Table 5.5-1, *GHG Emissions and their Relative Global Warming Potential Compared to CO₂*. The GWP is used to convert GHGs to CO₂-equivalence (CO₂e) to show the relative potential that different GHGs have to retain infrared radiation in the atmosphere and contribute to the greenhouse effect. For example, under IPCC's Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) GWP values for CH₄, a project that generates 10 metric tons (MT) of CH₄ would be equivalent to 210 MT of CO₂.³

Table 5.5-1 GHG Emissions and their Relative Global Warming Potential Compared to CO₂

GHGs	Second Assessment Report Atmospheric Lifetime (Years)	Fourth Assessment Report Atmospheric Lifetime (Years)	Second Assessment Report Global Warming Potential Relative to CO ₂ ¹	Fourth Assessment Report Global Warming Potential Relative to CO ₂ ¹
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	50 to 200	50 to 200	1	1
Methane ² (CH ₄)	12 (±3)	12	21	25
Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	120	114	310	298
Hydrofluorocarbons:				
HFC-23	264	270	11,700	14,800
HFC-32	5.6	4.9	650	675
HFC-125	32.6	29	2,800	3,500
HFC-134a	14.6	14	1,300	1,430
HFC-143a	48.3	52	3,800	4,470
HFC-152a	1.5	1.4	140	124
HFC-227ea	36.5	34.2	2,900	3,220
HFC-236fa	209	240	6,300	9,810
HFC-4310mee	17.1	15.9	1,300	1,030
Perfluoromethane: CF ₄	50,000	50,000	6,500	7,390
Perfluoroethane: C ₂ F ₆	10,000	10,000	9,200	12,200
Perfluorobutane: C ₄ F ₁₀	2,600	NA	7,000	8,860
Perfluoro-2-methylpentane: C ₆ F ₁₄	3,200	NA	7,400	9,300
Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	3,200	NA	23,900	22,800

³ CO₂-equivalence is used to show the relative potential that different GHGs have to retain infrared radiation in the atmosphere and contribute to the greenhouse effect. The global warming potential of a GHG is also dependent on the lifetime, or persistence, of the gas molecule in the atmosphere.

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Table 5.5-1 GHG Emissions and their Relative Global Warming Potential Compared to CO₂

GHGs	Second Assessment Report Atmospheric Lifetime (Years)	Fourth Assessment Report Atmospheric Lifetime (Years)	Second Assessment Report Global Warming Potential Relative to CO ₂ ¹	Fourth Assessment Report Global Warming Potential Relative to CO ₂ ¹
------	---	---	--	--

Source: IPCC 1995 and IPCC 2007.

Notes: The IPCC has published updated global warming potential (GWP) values in its Fifth Assessment Report (2013) that reflect new information on atmospheric lifetimes of GHGs and an improved calculation of the radiative forcing of CO₂. However, GWP values identified in the Fourth Assessment Report are used by SCAQMD to maintain consistency in statewide GHG emissions modeling. In addition, the 2014 Scoping Plan Update was based on the GWP values in the Fourth Assessment Report.

¹ Based on 100-year time horizon of the GWP of the air pollutant relative to CO₂.

² The methane GWP includes direct effects and indirect effects due to the production of tropospheric ozone and stratospheric water vapor. The indirect effect due to the production of CO₂ is not included.

California's GHG Sources and Relative Contribution

California is the tenth largest GHG emitter in the world and the second largest emitter of GHG emissions in the United States, surpassed only by Texas (CARB 2014a). However, California also has over 12 million more people than Texas. Because of more stringent air emission regulations, in 2014, California ranked third lowest in energy-related carbon emissions per capita (EIA 2014).

The California Air Resources Board's (CARB) last update to the statewide GHG emissions inventory that used the Second Assessment Report GWPs was in 2012 for year 2009 emissions.⁴ In 2009, California produced 457 MMTCO₂e GHG emissions. California's transportation sector is the single largest generator of GHG emissions, producing 37.9 percent of the state's total emissions. Electricity generation (in-state and imported) is the second largest source, producing 22.7 percent. Industrial activities are California's third largest source of GHG emissions at 17.8 percent. (CARB 2011).

In 2016, the statewide GHG emissions inventory was updated for 2000 to 2014 emissions using the GWPs in IPCC's Fourth Assessment Report. Based on these GWPs, California produced 442 MMTCO₂e GHG emissions in 2014. California's transportation sector remains the single largest generator of GHG emissions, producing 36.1 percent of the state's total emissions. Industrial sector emissions made up 21.1 percent and electric power generation made up 20.0 percent of the state's emissions inventory. Other major sectors of GHG emissions include commercial and residential (8.7 percent), agriculture (8.2 percent), high global warming potential GHGs (3.9 percent), and recycling and waste (2.0 percent) (CARB 2016).

Human Influence on Climate Change

For approximately 1,000 years before the Industrial Revolution, the amount of GHGs in the atmosphere remained relatively constant. During the 20th century, however, scientists observed a rapid change in the climate and the quantity of climate change pollutants in the Earth's atmosphere that is attributable to human activities. The amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere has increased by more than 35 percent since preindustrial times and has increased at an average rate of 1.4 parts per million per year since 1960, mainly due to

⁴ Methodology for determining the statewide GHG inventory is not the same as the methodology used to determine statewide GHG emissions under Assembly Bill 32 (2006).

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

combustion of fossil fuels and deforestation (IPCC 2007). These recent changes in the quantity and concentration of climate change pollutants far exceed the extremes of the ice ages, and the global mean temperature is warming at a rate that cannot be explained by natural causes alone. Human activities are directly altering the chemical composition of the atmosphere through the buildup of climate change pollutants (CAT 2006). In the past, gradual changes in the earth's temperature changed the distribution of species, availability of water, etc. However, human activities are accelerating this process so that environmental impacts associated with climate change no longer occur in a geologic time frame but within a human lifetime (IPCC 2007).

Like the variability in the projections of the expected increase in global surface temperatures, the environmental consequences of gradual changes in the Earth's temperature are also hard to predict. Projections of climate change depend heavily upon future human activity. Therefore, climate models are based on different emission scenarios that account for historic trends in emissions and on observations of the climate record that assess the human influence of the trend and projections for extreme weather events. Climate-change scenarios are affected by varying degrees of uncertainty. For example, there are varying degrees of certainty on the magnitude of the trends for:

- Warmer temperatures and fewer cold days and nights over most land areas.
- Warmer temperatures and more frequent hot days and nights over most land areas.
- An increase in frequency of warm spells/heat waves over most land areas.
- An increase in frequency of heavy precipitation events (or proportion of total rainfall from heavy falls) over most areas.
- Larger areas affected by drought.
- Intense tropical cyclone activity increases.
- Increased incidence of extremely high sea level (excludes tsunamis).

Potential Climate Change Impacts for California

Observed changes over the last several decades across the western United States reveal clear signs of climate change. Statewide average temperatures increased by about 1.7°F from 1895 to 2011, and warming has been greatest in the Sierra Nevada. By 2050, California is projected to warm by approximately 2.7°F above 2000 averages, a threefold increase in the rate of warming over the last century. By 2100, average temperatures could increase by 4.1° to 8.6°F, depending on emissions levels (CCCC 2012).

In California and western North America, observations of the climate have shown: 1) a trend toward warmer winter and spring temperatures; 2) a smaller fraction of precipitation falling as snow; 3) a decrease in the amount of spring snow accumulation in the lower and middle elevation mountain zones; 4) an advanced snowmelt of 5 to 30 days earlier in the springs; and 5) a similar shift (5 to 30 days earlier) in the timing of

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

spring flower blooms (CAT 2006). According to the California Climate Action Team, even if actions could be taken to immediately curtail climate change emissions, the potency of emissions that have already built up, their long atmospheric lifetimes (see Table 5.5-1), and the inertia of the Earth's climate system could produce as much as 0.6°C (1.1°F) of additional warming. Consequently, some impacts from climate change are now considered unavoidable. Global climate change risks to California are listed in Table 5.5-2, *Summary of GHG Emissions Risks to California*, and include impacts to public health, water resources, agriculture, coastal sea level, forest and biological resources, and energy.

Specific climate change impacts that could affect the project include:

- **Water Resources Impacts.** By the late twenty-first century, all projections show drying, and half of the projections suggest 30-year average precipitation will decline by more than 10 percent below the historical average. This drying trend is caused by an apparent decline in the frequency of rain and snowfall. Even in projections with relatively small or no declines in precipitation, central and southern parts of the state can be expected to be drier from the warming effects alone because the spring snowpack will melt sooner, and the moisture in soils will evaporate during long dry summer months (CCCC 2012).
- **Wildfire Risks.** Earlier snowmelt, higher temperatures, and longer dry periods over a longer fire season will directly increase wildfire risk. Indirectly, wildfire risk will also be influenced by potential climate-related changes in vegetation and ignition potential from lightning. Human activities will continue to be the biggest factor in ignition risk. The number of large fires statewide is estimated to increase from 58 percent to 128 percent above historical levels by 2085. Under the same emissions scenario, estimated burned area will increase by 57 percent to 169 percent, depending on location (CCCC 2012).
- **Health Impacts.** Many of the gravest threats to public health in California stem from the increase of extreme conditions, principally more frequent, more intense, and longer heat waves. Particular concern centers on the increasing frequency of multiple hot days in succession, and simultaneous heat waves in several regions throughout the state. Public health could also be affected by climate change impacts on air quality, food production, the amount and quality of water supplies, energy pricing and availability, and the spread of infectious diseases. Higher temperatures also increase ground-level ozone levels. Furthermore, wildfires can increase particulate air pollution in the major air basins of California (CCCC 2012).
- **Increased Energy Demand.** Increases in average temperature and higher frequency of extreme heat events combined with new residential development across the state will drive up the demand for cooling in the increasingly hot and long summer season and decrease demand for heating in the cooler season. Warmer, drier summers also increase system losses at natural gas plants (reduced efficiency in the electricity generation process from higher temperatures) and hydropower plants (lower reservoir levels). Transmission of electricity will also be affected by climate change. Transmission lines lose 7 percent to 8 percent of transmitting capacity in high temperatures while needing to transport greater loads. This means that more electricity needs to be produced to make up for the loss in capacity and the growing demand (CCCC 2012).

**5. Environmental Analysis
 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS**

Table 5.5-2 Summary of GHG Emissions Risks to California

Impact Category	Potential Risk
Public Health Impacts	Heat waves will be more frequent, hotter, and longer Fewer extremely cold nights Poor air quality made worse Higher temperatures increase ground-level ozone levels
Water Resources Impacts	Decreasing Sierra Nevada snow pack Challenges in securing adequate water supply Potential reduction in hydropower Loss of winter recreation
Agricultural Impacts	Increasing temperature Increasing threats from pests and pathogens Expanded ranges of agricultural weeds Declining productivity Irregular blooms and harvests
Coastal Sea Level Impacts	Accelerated sea level rise Increasing coastal floods Shrinking beaches Worsened impacts on infrastructure
Forest and Biological Resource Impacts	Increased risk and severity of wildfires Lengthening of the wildfire season Movement of forest areas Conversion of forest to grassland Declining forest productivity Increasing threats from pest and pathogens Shifting vegetation and species distribution Altered timing of migration and mating habits Loss of sensitive or slow-moving species
Energy Demand Impacts	Potential reduction in hydropower Increased energy demand

Sources: CEC 2006; CEC 2009; CCCC 2012; and CNRA 2014.

5.5.1.1 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

This section describes the federal, state, and local regulations applicable to GHG emissions.

Federal Laws

The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced on December 7, 2009, that GHG emissions threaten the public health and welfare of the American people and that GHG emissions from on-road vehicles contribute to that threat. The EPA’s final findings respond to the 2007 US Supreme Court decision that GHG emissions fit within the Clean Air Act definition of air pollutants. The findings did not themselves impose any emission reduction requirements, but allowed the EPA to finalize the GHG standards proposed in 2009 for new light-duty vehicles as part of the joint rulemaking with the Department of Transportation (EPA 2009).

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

To regulate GHGs from passenger vehicles, EPA was required to issue an endangerment finding. The finding covers emissions of six key GHGs—CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and SF₆—that have been the subject of scrutiny and intense analysis for decades by scientists in the United States and around the world. The first three are applicable to the Proposed Project's GHG emissions inventory because they constitute the majority of GHG emissions, and according to SCAQMD guidance are the GHG emissions that should be evaluated as part of a project's GHG emissions inventory.

US Mandatory Reporting Rule for GHGs (2009)

In response to the endangerment finding, the EPA issued the Mandatory Reporting of GHG Rule that requires substantial emitters of GHG emissions (large stationary sources, etc.) to report GHG emissions data. Facilities that emit 25,000 MTCO_{2e} or more per year must submit an annual report.

Update to Corporate Average Fuel Economy Standards (2010/2012)

The current Corporate Average Fuel Economy standards (for model years 2011 to 2016) incorporate stricter fuel economy requirements promulgated by the federal government and California into one uniform standard. Additionally, automakers are required to cut GHG emissions in new vehicles by roughly 25 percent by 2016 (resulting in a fleet average of 35.5 miles per gallon by 2016). Rulemaking to adopt these new standards was completed in 2010. California agreed to allow automakers who show compliance with the national program to also be deemed in compliance with state requirements. The federal government issued new standards in 2012 for model years 2017–2025, which will require a fleet average of 54.5 miles per gallon in 2025.

EPA Regulation of Stationary Sources under the Clean Air Act (Ongoing)

Pursuant to its authority under the Clean Air Act, the EPA has been developing regulations for new stationary sources such as power plants, refineries, and other large sources of emissions. Pursuant to former President Obama's 2013 Climate Action Plan, the EPA was directed to also develop regulations for existing stationary sources. However, the EPA is reviewing the Clean Power Plan under President Trump's Energy Independence Executive Order.

State Laws

Current State of California guidance and goals for reductions in GHG emissions are generally embodied in Executive Orders S-03-05 and B-30-15, Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32), Senate Bill 32 (SB 32), and Senate Bill 375 (SB 375).

Executive Order S-03-05

Executive Order S-03-05, signed June 1, 2005, set the following GHG reduction targets for the state:

- 2000 levels by 2010
- 1990 levels by 2020
- 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050

5. Environmental Analysis GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Assembly Bill 32, the Global Warming Solutions Act (2006)

Current State of California guidance and goals for reductions in GHG emissions are generally embodied in Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32), the Global Warming Solutions Act. AB 32 was passed by the California state legislature on August 31, 2006, to place the state on a course toward reducing its contribution of GHG emissions. AB 32 follows the 2020 tier of emissions reduction targets established in Executive Order S-03-05.

CARB 2008 Scoping Plan

The final Scoping Plan was adopted by CARB on December 11, 2008. The *2008 Scoping Plan* identified that GHG emissions in California are anticipated to be approximately 596 MMTCO_{2e} in 2020. In December 2007, CARB approved a 2020 emissions limit of 427 MMTCO_{2e} (471 million tons) for the state (CARB 2008). In order to effectively implement the emissions cap, AB 32 directed CARB to establish a mandatory reporting system to track and monitor GHG emissions levels for large stationary sources that generate more than 25,000 MTCO_{2e} per year, prepare a plan demonstrating how the 2020 deadline can be met, and develop appropriate regulations and programs to implement the plan by 2012.

First Update to the Scoping Plan

CARB completed a five-year update to the 2008 Scoping Plan, as required by AB 32. The First Update to the Scoping Plan was adopted at the May 22, 2014, board hearing. The update highlights California's progress toward meeting the near-term 2020 GHG emission reduction goals defined in the original 2008 Scoping Plan. As part of the update, CARB recalculated the 1990 GHG emission levels with the updated GWPs in the Fourth Assessment Report, and the 427 MMTCO_{2e} 1990 emissions level and 2020 GHG emissions limit, established in response to AB 32, is slightly higher at 431 MMTCO_{2e} (CARB 2014b).

As identified in the Update to the Scoping Plan, California is on track to meeting the goals of AB 32. However, the update also addresses the state's longer-term GHG goals within a post-2020 element. The post-2020 element provides a high-level view of a long-term strategy for meeting the 2050 GHG goals, including a recommendation for the state to adopt a midterm target. According to the Update to the Scoping Plan, local government reduction targets should chart a reduction trajectory that is consistent with or exceeds the trajectory created by statewide goals (CARB 2014b). CARB identified that reducing emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels will require a fundamental shift to efficient, clean energy in every sector of the economy. Progressing toward California's 2050 climate targets will require significant acceleration of GHG reduction rates. Emissions from 2020 to 2050 will have to decline several times faster than the rate needed to reach the 2020 emissions limit (CARB 2014b).

Executive Order B-30-15

Executive Order B-30-15, signed April 29, 2015, sets a goal of reducing GHG emissions within the state to 40 percent of 1990 levels by year 2030. Executive Order B-30-15 also directs CARB to update the Scoping Plan to quantify the 2030 GHG reduction goal for the state and requires state agencies to implement measures to meet the interim 2030 goal as well as the long-term goal for 2050 in Executive Order S-03-05. It also requires the Natural Resources Agency to conduct triennial updates of the California adaption strategy, Safeguarding California, in order to ensure climate change is accounted for in state planning and investment decisions.

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Senate Bill 32 and Assembly Bill 197

In September 2016, Governor Brown signed Senate Bill 32 and Assembly Bill 197 into law, making the Executive Order goal for year 2030 into a statewide mandated legislative target. AB 197 established a joint legislative committee on climate change policies and requires the CARB to prioritize direction emissions reductions rather than the market-based cap-and-trade program for large stationary, mobile, and other sources.

2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Update

Executive Order B-30-15 and SB 32 required CARB to prepare another update to the Scoping Plan to address the 2030 target for the state. On January 20, 2017, CARB released the *Draft 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Update* with adoption hearings planned for April of 2017. The *Draft 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Update* includes the potential regulations and programs, including strategies consistent with AB 197 requirements to achieve the 2030 target. The 2017 Scoping Plan establishes a new emissions limit of 260 MMTCO_{2e} for the year 2030, which corresponds to a 40 percent decrease in 1990 levels by 2030 (CARB 2017a).

California's climate strategy will require contributions from all sectors of the economy, including the land base, and will include enhanced focus on zero- and near-zero emission (ZE/NZE) vehicle technologies; continued investment in renewables, including solar roofs, wind, and other distributed generation; greater use of low carbon fuels; integrated land conservation and development strategies; coordinated efforts to reduce emissions of short-lived climate pollutants (methane, black carbon, and fluorinated gases); and an increased focus on integrated land use planning, to support livable, transit-connected communities and conservation of agricultural and other lands. Requirements for direct GHG reductions at refineries will further support air quality co-benefits in neighborhoods, including in disadvantaged communities historically located adjacent to these large stationary sources, as well as efforts with California's local air pollution control and air quality management districts (air districts) to tighten emission limits on a broad spectrum of industrial sources. Major elements of the 2017 Scoping Plan framework include:

- Implementing and/or increasing the standards of the Mobile Source Strategy, which include increasing ZE buses and trucks.
- Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS), with an increased stringency (18 percent by 2030).
- Implementation of SB 350, which expands the Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) to 50 percent RPS and doubles energy efficiency savings by 2030.
- California Sustainable Freight Action Plan, which improves freight system efficiency, utilizes near-zero emissions technology, and deployment of ZE trucks.
- Implementing the proposed Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Strategy, which focuses on reducing methane and hydrofluorocarbon emissions by 40 percent and anthropogenic black carbon emissions by 50 percent by year 2030.

5. Environmental Analysis GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

- Continued implementation of SB 375.
- Post-2020 Cap-and-Trade Program that includes declining caps.
- 20 percent reduction in GHG emissions from refineries by 2030⁵.
- Development of a Natural and Working Lands Action Plan to secure California’s land base as a net carbon sink.

In addition to the statewide strategies listed above, the *2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan* also identified local governments as essential partners in achieving the State’s long-term GHG reduction goals and identified local actions to reduce GHG emissions. As part of the recommended actions, CARB recommends that local governments achieve a community-wide goal to achieve emissions of no more than 6 MTCO_{2e} or less per capita by 2030 and 2 MTCO_{2e} or less per capita by 2050. For CEQA projects, CARB states that lead agencies may develop evidenced-based bright-line numeric thresholds—consistent with the Scoping Plan and the State’s long-term GHG goals—and projects with emissions over that amount may be required to incorporate on-site design features and mitigation measures that avoid or minimize project emissions to the degree feasible; or, a performance-based metric using a climate action plan or other plan to reduce GHG emissions is appropriate (CARB 2017a).

The Scoping Plan scenario is set against what is called the business-as-usual (BAU) yardstick—that is, what would the GHG emissions look like if the State did nothing at all beyond the existing policies that are required and already in place to achieve the 2020 limit. It includes the existing renewables requirements, advanced clean cars, the “10 percent” LCFS, and the SB 375 program for more vibrant communities, among others. However, it does not include a range of new policies or measures that have been developed or put into statute over the past two years. As shown in Table 5.6-3, *2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Emissions Reductions Gap to Achieve the 2030 GHG Target*, the known commitments are expected to result in emissions that are 50 MMTCO_{2e} above the target in 2030. In order to make up the gap, a new post-2020 Cap-and-Trade Program and refinery measure are key components of the 2017 Scoping Plan.

Table 5.5-3 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Emissions Reductions Gap to Achieve the 2030 GHG Target

Modeling Scenario	2030 GHG Emissions MMTCO _{2e}
Reference Scenario (Business-as-Usual)	392.4
With Known Commitments	310
2030 GHG Target	360

Source: CARB 2017a.

⁵ The plan includes policies to require direct GHG reductions at some of the State’s largest stationary sources and mobile sources in accordance with AB 197. These policies include the use of lower GHG fuels, efficiency regulations, and the Cap-and-Trade Program, which constrains and reduces emissions at covered sources.

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Table 5.6-4, *2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Emissions Change by Sector to Achieve the 2030 Target*, provides estimated GHG emissions by sector, compared to 1990 levels, and the range of GHG emissions for each sector estimated for 2030.

Table 5.5-4 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Emissions Change by Sector to Achieve the 2030 Target

Scoping Plan Sector	1990 MMTCO ₂ e	2030 Proposed Plan Ranges MMTCO ₂ e	% Change from 1990
Agricultural	26	24-25	-4% to -8%
Residential and Commercial	44	38-40	-9% to -14%
Electric Power	108	42-62	-43% to -61%
High GWP	3	8-11	167% to 267%
Industrial	98	77-87	-11% to -21%
Recycling and Waste	7	8-9	14% to 29%
Transportation (including TCU)	152	103-111	-27% to -32%
Net Sink ¹	-7	TBD	TBD
Sub Total	431	300-345	-20% to -30%
Cap-and-Trade Program	NA	40-85	NA
Total	431	260	-40%

Source: CARB 2017a.

Notes: TCU = Transportation, Communications, and Utilities; TBD: To Be Determined.

Work is underway through 2017 to estimate the range of potential sequestration benefits from the natural and working lands sector.

Senate Bill 1383

On September 19, 2016, the Governor signed SB 1383 to supplement the GHG reduction strategies in the Scoping Plan to consider short-lived climate pollutants, including black carbon and CH₄. Black carbon is the light-absorbing component of fine particulate matter (PM) produced during incomplete combustion of fuels. SB 1383 requires the state board, no later than January 1, 2018, to approve and begin implementing that comprehensive strategy to reduce emissions of short-lived climate pollutants to achieve a reduction in methane by 40 percent, hydrofluorocarbon gases by 40 percent, and anthropogenic black carbon by 50 percent below 2013 levels by 2030. The bill also establishes targets for reducing organic waste in landfill. On March 14, 2017, CARB adopted the *Final Proposed Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Strategy*, which identifies the state's approach to reducing anthropogenic and biogenic sources of short-lived climate pollutants. Anthropogenic sources of black carbon include on- and off-road transportation, residential wood burning, fuel combustion (charbroiling), and industrial processes. According to CARB, ambient levels of black carbon in California are 90 percent lower than in the early 1960s, despite the tripling of diesel fuel use (CARB 2017b). In-use on-road rules are expected to reduce black carbon emissions from on-road sources by 80 percent between 2000 and 2020. SCAQMD is one of the air districts that requires air pollution control technologies for chain-driven broilers, which reduces particulate emissions from these charbroilers by over 80 percent (CARB 2017b). Additionally, SCAQMD Rule 445, wood-burning devices limits installation of new fireplaces in the SoCAB.

5. Environmental Analysis GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Senate Bill 375

In 2008, SB 375, the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act, was adopted to connect the GHG emissions reductions targets established in the 2008 Scoping Plan for the transportation sector to local land use decisions that affect travel behavior. Its intent is to reduce GHG emissions from light-duty trucks and automobiles (excludes emissions associated with goods movement) by aligning regional long-range transportation plans, investments, and housing allocations to local land use planning to reduce VMT and vehicle trips. Specifically, SB 375 required CARB to establish GHG emissions reduction targets for each of the 18 metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs). The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is the MPO for the Southern California region, which includes the counties of Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, and Imperial.

Pursuant to the recommendations of the Regional Transportation Advisory Committee, CARB adopted per capita reduction targets for each of the MPOs rather than a total magnitude reduction target. SCAG's targets are an 8 percent per capita reduction from 2005 GHG emission levels by 2020 and a 13 percent per capita reduction from 2005 GHG emission levels by 2035 (CARB 2010). SB 375 requires CARB to update the targets no later than every eight years.

The 2020 targets are smaller than the 2035 targets because a significant portion of the built environment in 2020 has been defined by decisions that have already been made. In general, the 2020 scenarios reflect that more time is needed for large land use and transportation infrastructure changes. Most of the reductions in the interim are anticipated to come from improving the efficiency of the region's transportation network. The targets would result in 3 MMTCO₂e of reductions by 2020 and 15 MMTCO₂e of reductions by 2035. Based on these reductions, the passenger vehicle target in CARB's Scoping Plan (for AB 32) would be met (CARB 2010).

CARB is currently in the process of updating the next round of targets and methodology to comply with the requirement for updates every eight years. Considerations for the next round of targets include whether to change the nature or magnitude of the emissions reduction targets for each of the MPOs, and whether the target-setting methodology should account for advances in technologies that reduce emissions. Such changes in methodology would permit cities to account for emissions reductions from advances in cleaner fuels and vehicles and not only from land use and transportation planning strategies. In March 2017, CARB held a series of workshops regarding the SB 375 target update process, and updated targets adopted in 2017 are intended to become effective in 2018. Sustainable communities strategies (SCSs) adopted in 2018 would be subject to the updated targets (CARB 2015).

SCAG's 2016-2040 RTP/SCS

SB 375 requires the MPOs to prepare a sustainable communities strategy in their regional transportation plan. For the SCAG region, the 2016-2040 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) was adopted on April 7, 2016, and is an update to the 2012 RTP/SCS (SCAG 2016). In general, the SCS outlines a development pattern for the region, which, when integrated with the transportation network and other transportation measures and policies, would reduce vehicle miles traveled from automobiles and light duty trucks and thereby reduce GHG emissions from these sources.

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

The 2016-2040 RTP/SCS projects that the SCAG region will meet or exceed the passenger per capita targets set in 2010 by CARB. It is projected that VMT per capita in the region for year 2040 would be reduced by 7.4 percent with implementation of the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS compared to a no-plan year 2040 scenario. Under the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS, SCAG anticipates lowering GHG emissions 8 percent below 2005 levels by 2020, 18 percent by 2035, and 21 percent by 2040. The 18 percent reduction by 2035 over 2005 levels represents a 2 percent increase in reduction compared to the 2012 RTP/SCS projection. Overall, the SCS is meant to provide growth strategies that will achieve the aforementioned regional GHG emissions reduction targets. Land use strategies to achieve the region's targets include planning for new growth around high quality transit areas and livable corridors, and creating neighborhood mobility areas to integrate land use and transportation and plan for more active lifestyles (SCAG 2016). However, the SCS does not require that local general plans, specific plans, or zoning be consistent with the SCS; instead, it provides incentives to governments and developers for consistency.

Assembly Bill 1493

California vehicle GHG emission standards were enacted under AB 1493 (Pavley I). Pavley I is a clean-car standard that reduces GHG emissions from new passenger vehicles (light-duty auto to medium-duty vehicles) from 2009 through 2016 and is anticipated to reduce GHG emissions from new passenger vehicles by 30 percent in 2016. California implements the Pavley I standards through a waiver granted to California by the EPA. In 2012, the EPA issued a Final Rulemaking that sets even more stringent fuel economy and GHG emissions standards for model year 2017 through 2025 light-duty vehicles (see also the discussion on the update to the Corporate Average Fuel Economy standards under *Federal Laws*, above). In January 2012, CARB approved the Advanced Clean Cars program (formerly known as Pavley II) for model years 2017 through 2025. The program combines the control of smog, soot, and global warming gases and requirements for greater numbers of zero-emission vehicles into a single package of standards. Under California's Advanced Clean Car program, by 2025, new automobiles will emit 34 percent less global warming gases and 75 percent less smog-forming emissions.

Executive Order S-01-07

On January 18, 2007, the state set a new low carbon fuel standard (LCFS) for transportation fuels sold within the state. Executive Order S-01-07 sets a declining standard for GHG emissions measured in carbon dioxide equivalent gram per unit of fuel energy sold in California. The LCFS requires a reduction of 2.5 percent in the carbon intensity of California's transportation fuels by 2015 and a reduction of at least 10 percent by 2020. The standard applies to refiners, blenders, producers, and importers of transportation fuels, and would use market-based mechanisms to allow these providers to choose how they reduce emissions during the "fuel cycle" using the most economically feasible methods.

Senate Bills 1078 and 107, X1-2, and Executive Order S-14-08

A major component of California's Renewable Energy Program is the renewables portfolio standard (RPS) established under Senate Bills 1078 (Sher) and 107 (Simitian). Under the RPS, certain retail sellers of electricity were required to increase the amount of renewable energy each year by at least 1 percent in order to reach at least 20 percent by December 30, 2010. Executive Order S-14-08 was signed in November 2008, which expands the state's renewable energy standard to 33 percent renewable power by 2020. This standard

5. Environmental Analysis GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

was adopted by the legislature in 2011 (SBX1-2). Renewable sources of electricity include wind, small hydropower, solar, geothermal, biomass, and biogas. The increase in renewable sources for electricity production will decrease indirect GHG emissions from development projects, because electricity production from renewable sources is generally considered carbon neutral.

Senate Bill 350

Senate Bill 350 (de Leon), was signed into law September 2015 and establishes tiered increases to the RPS—40 percent by 2024, 45 percent by 2027, and 50 percent by 2030. SB 350 also set a new goal to double the energy-efficiency savings in electricity and natural gas through energy efficiency and conservation measures.

Executive Order B-16-2012

On March 23, 2012, the state identified that CARB, the California Energy Commission (CEC), the Public Utilities Commission, and other relevant agencies worked with the Plug-in Electric Vehicle Collaborative and the California Fuel Cell Partnership to establish benchmarks to accommodate zero-emissions vehicles in major metropolitan areas, including infrastructure to support them (e.g., electric vehicle charging stations). The executive order also directs the number of zero-emission vehicles in California's state vehicle fleet to increase through the normal course of fleet replacement so that at least 10 percent of fleet purchases of light-duty vehicles are zero-emission by 2015 and at least 25 percent by 2020. The executive order also establishes a target for the transportation sector of reducing GHG emissions from the transportation sector 80 percent below 1990 levels.

California Building Code: Building Energy Efficiency Standards

Energy conservation standards for new residential and nonresidential buildings were adopted by the California Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission (now the CEC) in June 1977 and most recently revised in 2016 (Title 24, Part 6, of the California Code of Regulations [CCR]). Title 24 requires the design of building shells and building components to conserve energy. The standards are updated periodically to allow for consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficiency technologies and methods. On June 10, 2015, the CEC adopted the 2016 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, which went into effect on January 1, 2017.

The 2016 Standards continues to improve upon the previous 2013 Standards for new construction of, and additions and alterations to, residential and nonresidential buildings. Under the 2016 Standards, residential and nonresidential buildings are 28 and 5 percent more energy efficient than the 2013 Standards, respectively (CEC 2015a). Buildings that are constructed in accordance with the 2013 Building Energy Efficiency Standards are 25 percent (residential) to 30 percent (nonresidential) more energy efficient than the prior 2008 standards as a result of better windows, insulation, lighting, ventilation systems, and other features. While the 2016 standards do not achieve zero net energy, they do get very close to the state's goal and make important steps toward changing residential building practices in California. The 2019 standards will take the final step to achieve zero net energy for newly constructed residential buildings throughout California (CEC 2015b).

California Building Code: CALGreen

On July 17, 2008, the California Building Standards Commission adopted the nation's first green building standards. The California Green Building Standards Code (24 CCR, Part 11, known as "CALGreen") was

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

adopted as part of the California Building Standards Code. CALGreen established planning and design standards for sustainable site development, energy efficiency (in excess of the California Energy Code requirements), water conservation, material conservation, and internal air contaminants.⁶ The mandatory provisions of the California Green Building Code Standards became effective January 1, 2011, and were last updated in 2016. The 2016 Standards became effective on January 1, 2017.

2006 Appliance Efficiency Regulations

The 2006 Appliance Efficiency Regulations (20 CCR §§ 1601–1608) were adopted by the CEC on October 11, 2006, and approved by the California Office of Administrative Law on December 14, 2006. The regulations include standards for both federally regulated appliances and non–federally regulated appliances. Though these regulations are now often viewed as “business as usual,” they exceed the standards imposed by all other states, and they reduce GHG emissions by reducing energy demand.

Solid Waste Regulations

California’s Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939, Public Resources Code 40050 et seq.) set a requirement for cities and counties throughout the state to divert 50 percent of all solid waste from landfills by January 1, 2000, through source reduction, recycling, and composting. In 2008, the requirements were modified to reflect a per capita requirement rather than tonnage. To help achieve this, the act requires that each city and county prepare and submit a source reduction and recycling element. AB 939 also established the goal for all California counties to provide at least 15 years of ongoing landfill capacity.

AB 341 (Chapter 476, Statutes of 2011) increased the statewide goal for waste diversion to 75 percent by 2020 and requires recycling of waste from commercial and multifamily residential land uses.

The California Solid Waste Reuse and Recycling Access Act (AB 1327, California Public Resources Code §§ 42900 et seq.) requires areas to be set aside for collecting and loading recyclable materials in development projects. The act required the California Integrated Waste Management Board to develop a model ordinance for adoption by any local agency requiring adequate areas for collection and loading of recyclable materials as part of development projects. Local agencies are required to adopt the model or an ordinance of their own.

Section 5.408 of the 2016 CALGreen also requires that at least 65 percent of the nonhazardous construction and demolition waste from nonresidential construction operations be recycled and/or salvaged for reuse.

In October of 2014 Governor Brown signed AB 1826 requiring businesses to recycle their organic waste on and after April 1, 2016, depending on the amount of waste they generate per week. This law also requires that on and after January 1, 2016, local jurisdictions across the state implement an organic waste recycling program to divert organic waste generated by businesses, including multifamily residential dwellings that consist of five or more units. Organic waste means food waste, green waste, landscape and pruning waste, nonhazardous wood waste, and food-soiled paper waste that is mixed in with food waste.

⁶ The green building standards became mandatory in the 2010 edition of the building code.

5. Environmental Analysis GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Water Efficiency Regulations

The 20x2020 Water Conservation Plan was issued by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) in 2010 pursuant to Senate Bill 7, which was adopted during the 7th Extraordinary Session of 2009–2010 and therefore dubbed “SBX7-7.” SBX7-7 mandated urban water conservation and authorized the DWR to prepare a plan implementing urban water conservation requirements (20x2020 Water Conservation Plan). In addition, it required agricultural water providers to prepare agricultural water management plans, measure water deliveries to customers, and implement other efficiency measures. SBX7-7 requires urban water providers to adopt a water conservation target of 20 percent reduction in urban per capita water use by 2020 compared to 2005 baseline use.

The Water Conservation in Landscaping Act of 2006 (AB 1881) requires local agencies to adopt the updated DWR model ordinance or an equivalent. AB 1881 also requires the CEC to consult with the DWR to adopt, by regulation, performance standards and labeling requirements for landscape irrigation equipment, including irrigation controllers, moisture sensors, emission devices, and valves to reduce the wasteful, uneconomic, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy or water.

5.5.1.2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The Project Site is comprised of undeveloped open space and is not currently a source of GHG emissions.

5.5.2 Thresholds of Significance

According to Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines, a project would normally have a significant effect on the environment if the project would:

- GHG-1 Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment.

- GHG-2 Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases.

South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCAQMD has adopted a significance threshold of 10,000 MTCO_{2e} per year for permitted (stationary) sources of GHG emissions for which SCAQMD is the designated lead agency. To provide guidance to local lead agencies on determining significance for GHG emissions in their CEQA documents, SCAQMD convened a GHG CEQA Significance Threshold Working Group (Working Group). Based on the last Working Group meeting (Meeting No. 15) in September 2010, SCAQMD identified a tiered approach for evaluating GHG emissions for development projects where SCAQMD is not the lead agency (SCAQMD 2010):

- **Tier 1.** If a project is exempt from CEQA, project-level and cumulative GHG emissions are less than significant.

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

- **Tier 2.** If the project complies with a GHG emissions reduction plan or mitigation program that avoids or substantially reduces GHG emissions in the project's geographic area (i.e., city or county), project-level and cumulative GHG emissions are less than significant.
- **Tier 3.** If GHG emissions are less than the screening-level threshold, project-level and cumulative GHG emissions are less than significant.

For projects that are not exempt or where no qualifying GHG reduction plans are directly applicable, SCAQMD requires an assessment of GHG emissions. SCAQMD identified a screening-level threshold of 3,000 MTCO_{2e} annually for all land use types or the following land-use-specific thresholds: 1,400 MTCO_{2e} for commercial projects, 3,500 MTCO_{2e} for residential projects, or 3,000 MTCO_{2e} for mixed-use projects. These bright-line thresholds are based on a review of the Governor's Office of Planning and Research database of CEQA projects. Based on their review of 711 CEQA projects, 90 percent of CEQA projects would exceed the bright-line thresholds. Therefore, projects that do not exceed the bright-line threshold would have a nominal, and therefore, less than cumulatively considerable impact on GHG emissions:

- **Tier 4.** If emissions exceed the screening threshold, a more detailed review of the project's GHG emissions is warranted.

The SCAQMD Working Group has identified an efficiency target for projects that exceed the screening threshold of 4.8 MTCO_{2e} per year per service population (MTCO_{2e}/year/SP) for project-level analyses and 6.6 MTCO_{2e}/year/SP for plan level projects (e.g., program-level projects such as general plans) for the year 2020.⁷ The per capita efficiency targets are based on the AB 32 GHG reduction target and 2020 GHG emissions inventory prepared for CARB's 2008 Scoping Plan.⁸

Project-related GHG emissions include on-road transportation, energy use, water use and wastewater generation, solid waste disposal, area sources, off-road emissions, and construction activities. The SCAQMD Working Group identified that because construction activities would result in a "one-time" net increase in GHG emissions, construction activities should be amortized into the operational phase GHG emissions inventory based on the service life of a building. For buildings, in general, it is reasonable to look at a 30-year time frame, since this is a typical interval before a new building requires the first major renovation.

For the purpose of this Proposed Project, SCAQMD's project-level threshold for all land use types is used as the plan-level efficiency metric is more appropriate for general plan-level analysis. If projects exceed the thresholds, GHG emissions would be considered potentially significant in the absence of mitigation measures. However, as the Proposed Project's horizon year is beyond year 2020 with an anticipated buildout of 2038, the efficiency target has been adjusted based on the long-term GHG reduction targets of SB 32, which establishes a target of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030, and Executive Order S-03-05, which sets

⁷ It should be noted that the Working Group also considered efficiency targets for 2035 for the first time in this meeting.

⁸ SCAQMD took the 2020 statewide GHG reduction target for land use only GHG emissions sectors and divided it by the 2020 statewide employment for the land use sectors to derive a per capita GHG efficiency metric that coincides with the GHG reduction targets of AB 32 for year 2020.

5. Environmental Analysis GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

a goal of 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050 (see Table 5.5-5, *Forecasting the Post-2020 GHG Reduction Targets*).

Table 5.5-5 Forecasting the Post-2020 GHG Reduction Targets

1990 Emissions Sector ¹	GHG Emissions MTCO ₂ e/Year	Tailoring the CARB Land Use Inventory
Electricity	96,100,000	Removed Industrial energy use
Transportation	137,990,000	Includes the on-road transportation sector emissions only
Landfills	6,260,000	Landfill extracted from the Industrial sector
Wastewater	3,170,000	Wastewater treatment extracted from the Industrial sector
Commercial	13,860,000	Removed National Security emissions
Residential	29,660,000	Includes all emissions from this sector
1990 Land Use Sector Total	287,040,000	—
2038 Land Use Sector GHG Target²	126,297,600	Trend-line: 56 Percent Reduction from 1990 Levels by 2038.
2038 Population and Employment Forecasts	Demographics	Notes
Population ³	46,657,547	Based the California Department of Finance forecasts
Employment ⁴	20,515,420	Based on Caltrans socio-economic forecasts
Service Population	67,172,967	—
2038 Efficiency Target	1.9 MTCO₂e/SP	—

Sources:

¹ CARB 2007.

² Based on the 2030 target of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030 under Executive Order B-30-15 and the target of 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050 under Executive Order S-03-05.

³ CDOF 2016.

⁴ Caltrans 2016.

Based on these long-term targets, project emissions are compared to the SCAQMD’s project-level efficiency threshold of:

- The 2020 GHG estimated efficiency target would be 4.8 MTCO₂e per service population per year (MTCO₂e/SP/yr), to align with SCAQMD’s efficiency target, identified in their CEQA Guidelines, which is consistent with AB 32.
- The 2038 GHG estimated efficiency target would be 1.9 MTCO₂e/SP/yr, to align with the midterm GHG reduction target of SB 32 and the long-term reduction goal of Executive Order S-03-05.

5.5.3 Plans, Programs, and Policies

Regulatory Requirements (RR)

RR AIR-3 New buildings are required to achieve the current California Building Energy and Efficiency Standards (Title 24, Part 6) and California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) (Title 24, Part 11). The 2016 Building and Energy Efficiency Standards are effective starting

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

on January 1, 2017. The Building Energy and Efficiency Standards and CALGreen are updated tri-annually with a goal to achieve net zero energy (NZE) for residential buildings by 2020 and non-residential buildings by 2030.

RR AIR-4 New buildings are required to adhere to the California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) requirement to provide bicycle parking for new non-residential buildings, or meet local bicycle parking ordinances, whichever is stricter (CALGreen Sections 5.106.4.1, 5.106.4.1.2, and 5.106.5.2). The Proposed Project would be required to provide anchored bicycle racks within 200 feet of the visitors' entrance, readily visible to passers-by, for five percent of new visitor motorized vehicle parking spaces being added. For employee, long-term secured bicycle parking is required to be provided for five percent of the tenant-occupied (i.e., staff) motorized vehicle parking spaces being added. The Proposed Project is also required to designate parking for low-emitting, fuel-efficient, and carpool/vanpool spaces identified in CALGreen.

5.5.4 Environmental Impacts

Methodology

The analysis in this section is based on buildout of the proposed campus as modeled using CalEEMod, Version 2016.3.1. As CalEEMod does not include specific emission rates for year 2038, for purposes of this analysis and based on CalEEMod methodology, the GHG emissions inventory is based on year 2035 emission rates.

- **Transportation:** GHG emissions are based on the annual average trip generation and average trip distance provided by IBI Group. For purposes of this analysis, an average trip distance of 9.02 miles is utilized, which doubles the average trip distance of 4.51 miles provided by IBI Group (IBI Group 2016). Per the methodology utilized by IBI Group, the 4.51 average trip distance is based on anticipated student capture areas and represents an aggregate of all distances from neighborhoods and activity centers to/from the proposed school site. Utilizing an average trip distance of 9.02 miles provides a conservative estimate for mobile-source and overall emissions.
- **Solid Waste Disposal:** Indirect emissions from waste generation are based on California Department of Resources, Recycling, and Recovery solid waste generation rates.
- **Water/Wastewater:** GHG emissions from this sector are associated with the embodied energy used to supply water, treat water, distribute water, and then treat wastewater and fugitive GHG emissions from wastewater treatment. Emissions are based on average water demand and average flow information provided by LPA (see Appendix J).
- **Area Sources:** Area and stationary sources are based on the CalEEMod defaults for use of consumer products and cleaning supplies.

5. Environmental Analysis GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

- **Energy:** GHG emissions from this sector are from use of electricity and natural gas by the proposed buildings. Title 24 energy rates in CalEEMod, which are based on the 2013 standards, are adjusted to account for the 2016 Building and Energy Efficiency Standards for nonresidential buildings.
- **Construction:** GHG emissions are from construction-related vehicle and equipment use and are provided by the applicant. Emissions are amortized over a 30-year period and are included as part of the overall inventory.

Life cycle emissions are not included in this analysis because not enough information is available for the Proposed Project, and therefore life cycle GHG emissions would be speculative.⁹ Black carbon emissions are not included in the GHG analysis because CARB does not include this pollutant in the state's AB 32 inventory and treats this short-lived climate pollutant separately.¹⁰

Calculating Service Population for Nonresidential Uses

Service population is traditionally defined as the number of residents and employees that are generated by a project. The service population metric is derived from CARB's 2008 Scoping Plan. The Scoping Plan identified that, based on the GHG emissions inventories for the state, people living in California generate approximately 14 tons of GHG emissions per capita and need to reduce GHG emissions to approximately 10 tons of GHG per capita to meet the GHG reduction target of AB 32. Because people who live in California generally work in California, the service population metric in the Scoping Plan did not include employees. As CEQA significance thresholds were being developed by individual air districts, air districts considered applying this type of efficiency metric to the air district's boundaries. In line with the methodology developed by the Regional Targets Advisory Committee (RTAC) as part of SB 375 target setting discussions, the definition of service population for a local air district was amended to include employees as well as residents because the transportation sector is the primary source of project-related GHG emissions and, unlike the state as a whole, people who work in one county/air district may not live in the same air district/city/county. However, it should be noted that people who live and work within the air district/city/county would also have other trip ends to services such as schools, retail uses, and parks. Therefore, for an air district/city/county boundary as a whole, the per capita metric does not include other users of the site. However, a project encompasses a much smaller boundary than an air district/city/county, and for commercial and other nonresidential development projects (e.g., parks, schools), the primary users of a site are not the employees, but visitors. Depending on the land use, these may include patients, customers,

⁹ Life cycle emissions include indirect emissions associated with materials manufacture. However, these indirect emissions involve numerous parties, each of which is responsible for GHG emissions of their particular activity. The California Resources Agency, in adopting the CEQA Guidelines Amendments on GHG emissions found that lifecycle analyses was not warranted for project-specific CEQA analysis in most situations, for a variety of reasons, including lack of control over some sources, and the possibility of double-counting emissions (see Final Statement of Reasons for Regulatory Action, December 2009). Because the amount of materials consumed during the operation or construction of the Proposed Project is not known, the origin of the raw materials purchased is not known, and manufacturing information for those raw materials are also not known, calculation of life cycle emissions would be speculative. A life-cycle analysis is not warranted (OPR 2008).

¹⁰ Particulate matter emissions, which include black carbon, are analyzed in Section 5.2, *Air Quality*. Black carbon emissions have sharply declined due to efforts to reduce on-road and off-road vehicle emissions, especially diesel particulate matter. The State's existing air quality policies will virtually eliminate black carbon emissions from on-road diesel engines within 10 years (CARB 2017b).

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

students, clients, etc. Therefore, for the purpose of this project, whose primary users would be the students of the proposed community college, the service population includes both employees and students.

The following impact analysis addresses thresholds of significance for which the Initial Study disclosed potentially significant impacts. The applicable thresholds are identified in brackets after the impact statement.

Impact 5.5-1: Implementation of the Proposed Project would not generate emissions that would exceed the forecasted per capita emissions significance threshold. [Threshold GHG-1]

Impact Analysis: Global climate change is not confined to a particular project area and is generally accepted as the consequence of global industrialization over the last 200 years. A typical project, even a very large one, does not generate enough greenhouse gas emissions on its own to influence global climate change significantly; hence, the issue of global climate change is, by definition, a cumulative environmental impact.

Implementation of the Proposed Project would contribute to global climate change through direct emissions of GHG from on-site area sources and vehicle trips generated by the project, and indirectly through offsite energy production required for on-site activities, water use, and waste disposal. Annual GHG emissions were calculated for construction and operation of the project. Total construction emissions were amortized over 30 years and included in the emissions inventory to account for the short-term, one-time GHG emissions from the construction phase of the project. The total annual GHG emissions associated with full buildout of the Proposed Project are shown in Table 5.5-6, *Annual Operational Phase GHG Emissions at Full Buildout*.

Table 5.5-6 Annual Operational Phase GHG Emissions at Full Buildout

Source	GHG Emissions	
	MTCO _{2e} ¹	Percent Change
Area	<1	<1%
Energy ¹	1,796	15%
Transportation	8,618	72%
Waste	713	6%
Water	678	6%
Construction-Amortized ³	197	2%
Total All Sectors	12,002	100%
Proposed SCAQMD Bright-Line Threshold	3,000 MTCO _{2e}	NA
Exceeds Threshold?	Yes	NA
Service Population (SP) ³	10,400	NA
Project Efficiency	1.15 MTCO_{2e}/SP/year	NA
Forecasted Year 2038 Per Capita Threshold ⁴	1.9 MTCO _{2e} /SP/year	NA
Exceeds Threshold?	No	NA

Source: CalEEMod Version 2016.3.1. Based on year 2035 emission rates per CalEEMod methodology.

Note: Percent changes from each source may not total to 100 percent due to rounding.

¹ For purposes of this analysis, new buildings are assumed to comply with the 2016 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, which are 5 percent more energy efficient for nonresidential buildings than the 2013 Building Energy Efficiency Standards. The 2013 Standards are 30 percent more efficient for nonresidential buildings than the 2008 Standards. Includes water efficiency improvements required under CALGreen.

² Construction emissions are amortized over a 30-year project lifetime per recommended SCAQMD methodology.

³ Total service population is based on 10,000 full-time equivalent students in addition to 400 college employees.

⁴ Based on the SCAQMD efficiency metric of 4.8 MTCO_{2e}/SP/yr and the 2030 GHG reduction target established by SB 32 and the long-term 2050 target set by Executive Order S-03-05.

5. Environmental Analysis GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

As shown in the table, the Proposed Project at buildout would generate 12,002 MTCO_{2e} per year and would exceed SCAQMD's proposed bright-line screening threshold of 3,000 MTCO_{2e} per year. However, as shown, the project's per capita emission of 1.15 MTCO_{2e}/SP/yr would not exceed the forecasted year 2038 per capita emissions significance threshold of 1.9 MTCO_{2e}/SP/yr. Because the GHG emissions associated with the project would not exceed the forecasted per capita emissions significance threshold, the Proposed Project's cumulative contribution to GHG emissions is considered less than significant.

Level of Significance before Mitigation: Based on the analysis above and upon implementation of regulatory requirements RR AIR-3 and RR AIR-4, Impact 5.5-1 would be less than significant without mitigation.

Impact 5.5-2: The Proposed Project would not conflict with plans adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions. [Threshold GHG-2]

Impact Analysis: Applicable plans adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions include CARB's Scoping Plan and SCAG's 2016 RTP/SCS. A consistency analysis with these plans is presented below:

CARB Scoping Plan

The CARB Scoping Plan is applicable to state agencies, but is not directly applicable to cities/counties and individual projects (i.e., the Scoping Plan does not require the city to adopt policies, programs, or regulations to reduce GHG emissions). However, new regulations adopted by the state agencies outlined in the Scoping Plan result in GHG emissions reductions at the local level. As a result, local jurisdictions benefit from reductions in transportation emissions rates, increases in water efficiency in the building and landscape codes, and other statewide actions that would affect a local jurisdiction's emissions inventory from the top down. Statewide strategies to reduce GHG emissions include the LCFS and changes in the corporate average fuel economy standards (e.g., Pavley I and Pavley California Advanced Clean Cars program).

The Proposed Project is required to adhere to the programs and regulations identified by the Scoping Plan and implemented by state, regional, and local agencies to achieve the statewide GHG reduction goals of AB 32 and SB 32. The Proposed Project would comply with these state GHG emissions reduction measures as they are statewide strategies. For example, the Proposed Project would be built to meet the current CalGreen and 2016 Building Energy Efficiency Standards in addition to the subsequent updates to these standards. Project GHG emissions shown in Table 5.5-6 include reductions associated with statewide strategies that have been adopted since AB 32. Therefore, the proposed program would not obstruct implementation of the CARB Scoping Plan.

SCAG's 2016-2040 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy

SCAG's 2016-2040 RTP/SCS was adopted April 7, 2016. The RTP/SCS identifies multimodal transportation investments, including bus rapid transit, light rail transit, heavy rail transit, commuter rail, high-speed rail, active transportation strategies (e.g., bike ways and sidewalks), transportation demand management strategies, transportation systems management, highway improvements (interchange improvements, high-occupancy vehicle lanes, high-occupancy toll lanes), arterial improvements, goods movement strategies, aviation and

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

airport ground access improvements, and operations and maintenance to the existing multimodal transportation system.

SCAG’s RTP/SCS identifies that land use strategies that focus on new housing and job growth in areas served by high quality transit and other opportunity areas would be consistent with a land use development pattern that supports and complements the proposed transportation network. The overarching strategy in the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS is to provide for a plan that allows the southern California region to grow in more compact communities in existing urban areas, provide neighborhoods with efficient and plentiful public transit, abundant and safe opportunities to walk, bike and pursue other forms of active transportation, and preserve more of the region’s remaining natural lands (SCAG 2016). The 2016-2040 RTP/SCS contains transportation projects to help more efficiently distribute population, housing, and employment growth, as well as a forecasted development that is generally consistent with regional-level general plan data. The projected regional development pattern when integrated with the proposed regional transportation network identified in the RTP/SCS, would reduce per capita vehicular travel-related GHG emissions and achieve the GHG reduction per capita targets for the SCAG region.

Table 5.5-7, *SCAG 2016 RTP/SCS Consistency*, evaluates the project in comparison to the three primary transportation-land use strategies in the RTP/SCS. As shown in the table, the Proposed Project would not interfere with SCAG’s ability to implement the regional strategies outlined in the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS. The Proposed Project would provide another college option closer to the local communities which could contribute in reducing VMT. According to the Mt. San Jacinto Community College District (MSJCCD), the Proposed Project would fulfill the current demand within the portion of MSJCCD that the Proposed Project would serve. In providing another college option for this area of MSJCCD to alleviate the current unmet demand at the Menifee Valley Campus, it would be able to accommodate students who could otherwise attend institutions farther away such as Palomar College in the City of San Marcos or colleges within the Riverside Community College District. In addition, as the City of Wildomar is considered a “housing rich” community (see Section 3.13(b) of the Initial Study), the additional 400 employees anticipated to be generated would improve the jobs-housing balance, which would further reduce VMT. Therefore, implementation of the Proposed Project would not interfere with SCAG’s ability to implement the regional strategies outlined in the RTP/SCS.

Table 5.5-7 SCAG 2016 RTP/SCS Consistency

SCAG Transportation-Land Use Strategies	Implementing Policies/Strategies	Consistency
<p>Focusing new growth around High Quality Transit Areas (HQTAs). The 2016 RTP/SCS overall land use pattern reinforces the trend of focusing new housing and employment in the region’s high quality transit areas (HQTAs). The 2016 RTP/SCS assumes that 46 percent of new housing and 55 percent of new employment locations developed between 2012 and 2040 will be located within HQTAs, which comprise only three percent of the total land area in the SCAG region</p>	<p>Additional local policies that ensure that development in HQTAs achieve the intended reductions in VMT and GHG emissions include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Affordable housing requirements ▪ Reduced parking requirements ▪ Adaptive reuse of existing structures ▪ Density bonuses tied to family housing units such as three- and four bedroom units ▪ Mixed-use development standards that include local serving retail ▪ Increased Complete Streets investments around HQTAs. 	<p>Not Applicable. There are no identified HQTAs in the local area.</p>

5. Environmental Analysis GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Table 5.5-7 SCAG 2016 RTP/SCS Consistency

SCAG Transportation-Land Use Strategies (SCAG 2016).	Implementing Policies/Strategies	Consistency
<p>Plan for growth around Livable Corridors. SCAG’s livable corridors strategy seeks to revitalize commercial strips through integrated transportation and land use planning that results in increased economic activity and improved mobility options.</p>	<p>Additional livable corridors strategies include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Transit improvements, including dedicated lane Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) or semi-dedicated BRT-light. The remaining corridors have the potential to support other features that improve bus performance (enhanced bus shelters, real-time travel information, off-bus ticketing, all door boarding and longer distances between stops to improve speed and reliability). ▪ Active transportation improvements: Livable corridors include increased investments in complete streets to make these corridors and the intersecting arterials safe for biking and walking. ▪ Land use policies: Livable Corridor strategies include the development of mixed-use retail centers at key nodes along the corridors, increasing neighborhood-oriented retail at more intersections and zoning that allows for the replacement of under-performing auto-oriented strip retail between nodes with higher density residential and employment. 	<p>Not Applicable: The identified 3,000 miles of livable corridors are generally a subset of HQTAs, except for 154 miles that have been identified in sustainability planning grant projects. As stated, there are no HQTAs in the local area. However, at project completion, Salida Del Sol (eastern half) and Clinton Keith Road (northern half) Project Site frontages would be improved to meet the City of Wildomar’s ultimate half width rights-of-way standards, complete with sidewalks and bikeway, as appropriate. In addition, the Proposed Project includes a non-vehicular multi-use trail within the Project Site that would connect the main campus to La Estrella Street and potentially provide better active transportation connectivity in the local area.</p>
<p>Provide more options for short trips in Neighborhood Mobility Areas and Complete Communities: Neighborhood mobility areas have a high intersection density, low to moderate traffic speeds and robust residential retail connections. These areas are suburban in nature, but can support slightly higher density in targeted locations. The land use strategies include shifting retail growth from large centralized retail strip malls to smaller distributed centers throughout a neighborhood mobility area.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Neighborhood mobility area land use strategies include pursuing local policies that encourage replacing motor vehicle use with Neighborhood Electric Vehicle (NEV) use. NEVs are a federally designated class of passenger vehicle rated for use on roads with posted speed limits of 35 miles per hour or less. Steps needed to support NEV use include providing state and regional incentives for purchases, local planning for charging stations, designating a local network of low speed roadways and adopting local regulations that allow smaller NEV parking stalls ▪ Complete communities strategies include creation of mixed-use districts through a concentration of activities with housing, employment, and a mix of retail and services, located in close proximity to each other. Focusing a mix of land uses in strategic growth areas creates complete communities wherein most daily needs can be met within a short distance of home, providing residents with the opportunity to patronize their local area and run daily errands by walking or cycling rather than traveling by automobile. 	<p>Consistent: Implementation of the I-15 Corridor Campus Master Plan would provide a closer college option for the existing local communities such as those within the Cities of Wildomar, Murrieta, Lake Elsinore, and Temecula. In addition, and as stated, as the City of Wildomar is considered a “housing rich” community, the creation of the additional 400 college employees would improve the jobs-housing balance. Overall, providing a closer college option and improving the jobs-housing balance could contribute to reducing VMT. Also, as stated, the proposed multi-use trail within the Project Site would potentially provide better active transportation connectivity in the local area.</p>

Source: SCAG 2016.

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Level of Significance before Mitigation: Based on the analysis above and upon implementation of regulatory requirements RR AIR-3 and RR AIR-4, Impact 5.5-2 would be less than significant without mitigation.

5.5.5 Cumulative Impacts

Project-related GHG emissions are not confined to a particular air basin but are dispersed worldwide. Therefore, impacts identified under Impact 5.5-1 are not project-specific impacts to global warming, but the Proposed Project's contribution to this cumulative impact. Implementation of the Proposed Project would not exceed the forecasted per capita significance threshold. Thus, the Proposed Project's GHG emissions and contribution to global climate change impacts are not considered cumulatively considerable, and therefore are less than significant.

5.5.6 Level of Significance Before Mitigation

Upon implementation of regulatory requirements, the following impacts would be less than significant:

- **Impact 5.5-1:** Implementation of the Proposed Project would not generate emissions that would exceed the forecasted per capita emissions significance threshold.
- **Impact 5.5-2:** The Proposed Project would not conflict with plans adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions.

5.5.7 Mitigation Measures

No mitigation measures are required.

5.5.8 Level of Significance After Mitigation

The existing applicable regulations would reduce potential impacts associated with GHG emissions to a level that is less than significant. Therefore, no significant unavoidable adverse impacts relating to GHG emissions have been identified.

5.5.9 References

California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA). 2016. California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod). Version 2016.3.1. Prepared by: BREEZE Software, A Division of Trinity Consultants in collaboration with South Coast Air Quality Management District and the California Air Districts.

California Air Resources Board (CARB). 2007, November. California Greenhouse Gas Inventory (MMT CO₂e): Summary by Economic Sector

———. 2008, October. Climate Change Proposed Scoping Plan: A Framework for Change.

5. Environmental Analysis GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

- . 2010, August. Staff Report Proposed Regional Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Targets for Automobiles and Light Trucks Pursuant to Senate Bill 375.
- . 2011, December. California Greenhouse Gas Inventory for 2000–2009: By Category as Defined by the Scoping Plan.
- . 2014a, May. California Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventory: 2000-2012.
https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/pubs/reports/ghg_inventory_00-12_report.pdf.
- . 2014b, May 15. First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan: Building on the Framework, Pursuant to AB 32, The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006.
<http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/scopingplan.htm>.
- . 2015, September 15. ARB Process and Schedule for SB 375 Target Update.
<http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/sb375/sb375.htm>.
- . 2016, June. 2016 Edition California Greenhouse Gas Inventory for 2000-2014: By Category as Defined in the 2008 Scoping Plan. <http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/data.htm>.
- . 2017a, January 20. The 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Update: The Proposed Strategy for Achieving California’s 2030 Greenhouse Gas Target.
https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/2030sp_pp_final.pdf.
- . 2017b, March 14. Final Proposed Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Reduction Strategy.
<https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/shortlived/shortlived.htm>.
- California Climate Action Team (CAT). 2006, March. Climate Action Team Report to Governor Schwarzenegger and the Legislature.
- California Climate Change Center (CCCC). 2012, July. Our Changing Climate 2012: Vulnerability and Adaptation to the Increasing Risks from Climate Change in California.
- California Department of Finance. 2016. Report P-2: State and County Population Projections by Race/Ethnicity and Age (5-year groups).
http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/projections/documents/P-2_Age5yr_CAProj_2010-2060.xls.
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), 2016. Long-Term Socio-economic Forecasts by County, http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/offices/eab/socio_economic.html.
- California Energy Commission (CEC). 2006. Our Changing Climate: Assessing the Risks to California. 2006 Biennial Report, California Climate Change Center. CEC-500-2006-077.
- . 2009, May. The Future Is Now: An Update on Climate Change Science, Impacts, and Response Options for California. CEC-500-2008-0077.

5. Environmental Analysis

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

- . 2015a. 2016 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Adoption Hearing Presentation.
<http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2016standards/rulemaking/documents/> June 10.
- . 2015b. 2016 Building Energy and Efficiency Standards Frequently Asked Questions.
http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2016standards/rulemaking/documents/2016_Building_Energy_Efficiency_Standards_FAQ.pdf.
- California Natural Resources Agency. 2014, July. Safeguarding California: Reducing Climate Risk, An Update to the 2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy.
- Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR). 2008, June. CEQA and Climate Change: Addressing Climate Change Through CEQA Review. Technical Advisory.
<http://www.opr.ca.gov/ceqa/pdfs/june08-ceqa.pdf>.
- IBI Group. 2016, September 16. Wildomar Campus Master Plan Draft Traffic Study.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 1995. *Second Assessment Report: Climate Change 1995*.
- . 2001. *Third Assessment Report: Climate Change 2001*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- . 2007. *Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- . 2013. *Fifth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2013*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2010, September 28. Agenda for Meeting 15. Greenhouse Gases (GHG) CEQA Significance Thresholds Working Group.
[http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-\(ghg\)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/year-2008-2009/ghg-meeting-15/ghg-meeting-15-main-presentation.pdf?sfvrsn=2](http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-(ghg)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/year-2008-2009/ghg-meeting-15/ghg-meeting-15-main-presentation.pdf?sfvrsn=2).
- Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). 2016, April 7. Final 2016-2040 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS): A Plan for Mobility, Accessibility, Sustainability, and a High Quality of Life.
<http://scagrtpscscs.net/Pages/FINAL2016RTPSCS.aspx>.
- US Energy Information Administration (EIA). 2017, January 17. Energy-Related Carbon Dioxide Emissions at the State Level, 2000-2014. <https://www.eia.gov/environment/emissions/state/analysis/>.
- US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2009, December. EPA: Greenhouse Gases Threaten Public Health and the Environment: Science Overwhelmingly Shows Greenhouse Gas Concentrations at Unprecedented Levels due to Human Activity.
<http://yosemite.epa.gov/opa/admpress.nsf/0/08D11A451131BCA585257685005BF252.240>.
- . 2017. Overview of Greenhouse Gases.
<http://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/gases.html>. This page intentionally left blank.