



Prepared by:

EnviroSoil, Inc. (ESI)

24399 Avenida Musico
Murrieta, CA 92562

Phone (951) 677-3377
Fax (951) 677-7077



RMEC, LLC

Phase I Environmental Assessment

January 5, 2011

**APNs 380-250-022 and successor APNs
Southwest corner Clinton Kieth & Elizabeth Ln.
Wildomar, Riverside County, California**

Prepared on behalf of:

RMEC, LLC

c/o Mr. Frank Igo
Senior Project Management
RANCON Group
41391 Kalmia St., Suite 200
Murrieta, CA 92562

Table of Contents

1 Executive Summary3
 1.1 On-Site3
 1.2 Off-site3
 2 Introduction3
 2.1 Purpose3
 2.2 Special Terms and Conditions3
 3 Limitations and Exceptions of Assessment4
 4 Site Description4
 4.1 Location, Legal Description and Vicinity Characteristics4
 4.2 Description of Structures, Roads and Improvements5
 4.3 Environmental Setting5
 4.3.1 Geology and Hydrogeology5
 4.4 Information Regarding Environmental Liens5
 4.5 Current Use of Property6
 4.6 Former Uses and History of Property6
 4.6.1 Rationale6
 4.6.2 Historical Aerial Photographs Review6
 4.6.3 Historical Maps Review (Sanborn Fire Insurance and USGS)7
 5 Regulatory Review8
 5.1 Purpose8
 5.1.1 Orphan Properties identified within the database search8
 5.2 Federal8
 5.2.1 The National Priorities List (NPL)8
 5.2.2 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System (CERCLIS)8
 5.2.3 Comprehensive Environmental Response Conservation and Liability Act No Further Remedial Action Planned (CERCLA-NFRAP)8
 5.2.4 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facilities (RCRA TSD)9
 5.2.5 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Large and Small Generators (RCRA Generators) ..9
 5.2.6 RCRA Corrective Action Sites (CORRACTS)9
 5.2.7 Emergency Response Notification System (ERNS)9
 5.2.8 Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System (TRIS)9
 5.3 State9
 5.3.1 California Hazardous Materials Incident Report System (CHMIRS)9
 5.3.2 Cal-Sites9
 5.3.3 Solid Waste Information System (SWF/LF)10
 5.3.4 California Waste Discharge System (WDS)10
 5.3.5 Cortese10
 5.3.6 SWRCY10
 5.3.7 Notify 6510
 5.3.8 HAZNET10
 5.3.9 UST11
 5.3.10 LUST11
 5.3.11 Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database (HIST UST)11
 5.3.12 SWEEPS UST11
 5.3.13 AST11
 5.3.14 RESPONSE11
 5.3.15 ENVIROSTOR11
 5.4 Local12
 5.4.1 County of Riverside Department of Environmental Health12
 6 Site Reconnaissance12
 6.1 Hazardous Substance/Materials Usage, Storage, and Disposal12
 6.2 Radon12

6.3	Electrical Transformers.....	12
6.4	Underground Storage Tanks.....	12
6.5	ASTs, Drums, Containers and Storage Vessels.....	13
6.6	Airstacks, Vents and Odors.....	13
6.7	Hazardous Waste Storage and Disposal.....	13
6.8	Identification of Asbestos Containing Materials.....	13
6.9	Lead Containing Materials.....	13
6.10	Surface Staining and Distressed Vegetation.....	13
6.11	Surface Drainage.....	13
6.12	Conduits to Groundwater.....	13
7	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	14
7.1	Subject Property.....	14
7.2	Off-site.....	14
7.3	Recommendations.....	14
8	Report Limitations.....	14
9	Reliance and Assignments.....	15
10	nature of Environmental Professional(s).....	15

List of Appendices

- Appendix A - Figures
- Appendix B – Government Documents
- Appendix C - Miscellaneous Information
- Appendix D - Site Reconnaissance Photographs
- Appendix E - Environmental Data Resources, Inc. Report

1 Executive Summary

The following Phase I Environmental Site Assessment has been prepared by EnviroSoil, Inc. (herein referred to as ESI) at the request of Mr. Frank Igo on behalf of RMEC, LLC (herein referred to as Client) for the subject property located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Clinton Keith Road and Elizabeth Lane, Wildomar, Riverside County, California (refer to attached aerial photo, topographic, and tax map info for exact location). The subject site is approximately 28.61 acres and includes assessor's parcel number 380-250-022. This report is based on site reconnaissance; aerial photograph reviews, knowledgeable person interviews, USGS topographic map reviews; and federal, state and local regulatory agency file reviews.

1.1 On-Site

Based upon the site reconnaissance, aerial photograph reviews, USGS topographic map review, previous Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (LGC Inland, Inc., February 2007 and EcoTech, Inc., April 7th, 2003 of the adjacent property) reviews and regulatory agency file review, EnviroSoil, Inc. did not identify any current on-site environmental concerns in connection with the subject property.

1.2 Off-site

Based upon the site reconnaissance, aerial photograph reviews, USGS topographic map review, previous Phase I Environmental Site Assessment reviews and regulatory agency file review, EnviroSoil, Inc. identified and evaluated several reported locations, but did not identify any off-site environmental concerns in connection with the subject property.

2 Introduction

2.1 Purpose

The objective of this Phase I Environmental Site Assessment is to identify, to the extent feasibly possible, recognized environmental conditions in connection with the subject property. This Phase I Environmental Site Assessment contains four major components: (1) review of federal and state database records; (2) site reconnaissance; (3) interviews with regulatory agencies/property owners; (4) reporting. This Phase I Environmental Site Assessment has been prepared using the guidelines within the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Designation: E-1527-05 and as defined by 40 CFR Part 312, All Appropriate Inquiries (AAI).

2.2 Special Terms and Conditions

No special terms or conditions were made between EnviroSoil, Inc. and the Client for this report. This report was produced by EnviroSoil, Inc. to be within the scope of the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard Practice for Environmental Assessment: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process document (Designation: E-1527-05) as well as the All Appropriate Inquiries (AAI) requirements. All professional conclusions in this report were based upon historic recorded data. All reasonably available resources were exhausted prior to forming a professional opinion and conclusion. These opinions and conclusions therefore, may be subject to further interpretation should additional information, not made available to the researcher(s) at the time of this investigation, be discovered. Any additional facts uncovered in the future may necessitate an addendum to this report.

3 Limitations and Exceptions of Assessment

EnviroSoil, Inc. has conducted this Phase I Environmental Site Assessment in accordance with the accepted procedures established by the American Society of Testing and Manufacturing (ASTM) E 1527-05 and the All Appropriate Inquiries (AAI), 40 CFR Part 312.

EnviroSoil, Inc. wishes to state that a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment does not routinely include sampling or analysis of soils, groundwater, or other materials, fluids etc.; testing or assessment for the presence of asbestos containing materials, or the presence of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs); an assessment of *naturally occurring* chemical hazards, such as naturally occurring asbestos, radon gas, or methane gas; an assessment of the potential presence of radio nuclides; or an assessment of non-chemical environmental hazards, such as potential for earthquake, flood damage or the biological presence of mold and/or mold spores. Any exceptions to the above shall be specifically noted, or shall NOT be considered a part of this agreement.

Investigative inquiries were made of the local regulatory agencies regarding specific environmental concerns. All information was collected from written or verbal accounts, and the limitations of this report are subject to the validity of these sources. No warranty is offered, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of the information gathered during the investigation of this property.

4 Site Description

4.1 Location, Legal Description and Vicinity Characteristics

The subject property consists of approximately 28.61 acres and is located on the southwest corner of the intersection of Clinton Keith Road and Elizabeth Lane, Wildomar, County of Riverside, California. See Appendix A for Maps and Figures.

Coordinates

Latitude (North)	33.597500 - 33°35'51.0"
Longitude (West)	117.228200 - 117°13'41.5"
Universal Transverse Mercator:	Zone 11
UTM X (Meters):	478826.8
UTM Y (Meters):	3717359.2
Elevation:	1383 ft. above sea level (approximately)

USGS Topographic Map Associated with Target Property

Target Property:	Southwest corner of the intersection of Clinton Keith Road and Elizabeth Lane, Wildomar, California
Source:	USGS 7.5 min quad index (Murrieta, CA) Electronic - Terrain Navigator-Maptech

Adjoining properties to the subject site (refer to Appendix photos) include the following:

- South – The property is bordered to the south by Prielipp Road, a paper road.
- North - The property is bordered to the north by Clinton Keith Road, a paved, Multi-lane, main thoroughfare.
- East – The property is bordered to the east by Elizabeth Lane, a paved road.
- West - The property is bordered to the west by Yamas Road, which is paved going south from the SW corner of the property and is dirt along the northern half of the boundary with contact to the subject site.

In all directions, the area surrounding the subject property is a mix of vacant land, small ranches, residential housing and light commercial development.

4.2 Description of Structures, Roads and Improvements

ESI conducted a site reconnaissance for the subject property on December 28, 2010. At that time, the property was observed to be roughly graded as for agriculture and part of the property with a cover of natural vegetation. No structures, roads or obvious improvements were observed during the site reconnaissance with the exceptions noted above (roads) along the boundaries and the improved natural drainage along the eastern edge of the target property..

4.3 Environmental Setting

4.3.1 Geology and Hydrogeology

4.3.1.1 Regional Geology

The subject site is situated in a natural geomorphic province in Southern California known as the Peninsular Ranges, which is bordered to the east by the Salton Trough, the north by the Transverse Ranges (San Bernardino, San Gabriel and Santa Monica Mountains). The Peninsular Range province extends southerly to the Baja peninsula and westerly to the Pacific Ocean. This province is generally characterized by structurally controlled, elongated northwesterly-trending valleys and mountains, with elevated erosional surfaces. The eastern portion of the province has been extensively uplifted by faulting and represents the highest and most rugged terrain. The Peninsular Ranges are transversed by numerous northwest trending faults creating and subdividing the province into many sub-parallel, northwest trending ranges and valleys. The northwesterly trending mountains and valleys are flanked by regional faults, which remain active today, including the San Andreas, San Jacinto and Elsinore Fault Zones.

4.3.1.2 Site Geology

According to the EDR report, this site is a part of the Quaternary Series, Quaternary System, Cenozoic Era. Surface soil textures in the area are predominantly reported to be moderately well drained sandy loam.

4.3.1.3 Regional Hydrology

Based on USGS Topographic Maps, elevations at the site range from approximately 1342 to 1383 feet above sea level. Surface drainage on the property would tend towards the south-southwest.

The EDR database search reported 55 water wells located within 1 mile of the subject site. Three of the wells were located within 1/4 mile of the site and indicated groundwater measurements ranging from 10 to 18 feet below ground surface. No specific hydrologic information was available for the subject site, but a previous Phase I (Hayden, 2004) indicated that “no groundwater was encountered at a nearby development project to a depth of 30 feet below ground surface.”

4.4 Information Regarding Environmental Liens

No environmental liens for the subject property were identified during the preparation of this

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. Attached, please find a copy of the title report.

4.5 Current Use of Property

The subject site is currently vacant but appears to have been rough graded for dry farming.

4.6 Former Uses and History of Property

Based upon interviews, site reconnaissance, review of USGS topographic maps, historical data (including previous Phase I Environmental Site Assessments), and aerial photograph reviews, the subject property had the following uses:

The subject property has historically been vacant since at least 1948. It appears to have been rough graded and apparently is/have been used for dry farming.

The current and previous property owners were not asked to complete an Environmental Site Questionnaire for this report because we were unable to make contact or they have been absentee corporations and/or were interviewed during previous assessments with nothing relevant to contribute.

4.6.1 Rationale

The purpose of the records review is to obtain and review records, photographs, maps and other related historical documents that will assist in the identification of recognized environmental conditions in connection with the subject property. The objective of consulting historical sources is to develop a history of properties and surrounding area, in order to help identify the likelihood of past uses having led to recognized environmental conditions in connection with the property. The following are recommended sources/databases used to investigate the history of the subject property.

4.6.2 Historical Aerial Photographs Review

On December 27, 2010, and cross checked with EDR photographs on Jan, 4, 2011; ESI reviewed historical aerial photographs, including the following:

1938 Laval Scale=1"=555'

The site is observed on the photos as open undeveloped, sparsely vegetated, open range land or dry farming land. The surrounds on all quadrants are apparently equally divided as open range, dry farming, and undeveloped open land with a few very widely scattered ranch buildings. What will later become Clinton Keith Road is now a dirt road serving widely separated ranches.

1953 Pacific Air Scale=1"=555'

No significant changes to the subject property and adjoining properties were observed since the 1938 aerial photograph with the following exceptions: a few widely scattered ranchettes and a few attempts at small agricultural groves are seen.

1967 **Western** **Scale=1"=555'**

No significant changes to the subject property and adjoining properties were observed since the 1953 aerial photograph with the following exceptions: a few more widely scattered ranchettes and a few more attempts at small agricultural groves are seen.

1980 **AMI** **Scale=1"=600'**

No significant changes to the subject property and adjoining properties were observed since the 1967 aerial photograph with the following exceptions: a few more widely scattered ranchettes and a few more attempts at small agricultural groves are seen.

1989 **USGS** **Scale=1"=666'**

No significant changes to the subject property were observed since the 1967 aerial photograph with the following exceptions: The rural single-family dwelling density has increased slightly in all quadrants. The small ranch density has increased slightly. The Inland Valley Hospital Complex to the southwest has, for the most part, been completed. Highway 395 has been rebuilt and renamed to the current configuration of Highway 15.

1994 **USGS** **Scale=1"=666'**

No significant changes to the subject property were observed since the 1989 aerial photograph with the following exceptions: The rural single family dwelling density has increased moderately and the single family dwelling tract home population has increased moderately also. Clinton Keith has been paved and extended eastward off the observable aerial photo.

2002 **USGS** **Scale=1"=666'**

No significant changes to the subject property were observed since the 1994 aerial photograph with the following exceptions: The rural single family dwelling density has increased moderately and the single family dwelling tract home population has increased moderately also to the north.

2005 **EDR** **Scale=1"=458'**

No significant changes to the subject property were observed since the 2002 aerial photograph with the following exceptions: The rural single family dwelling density has increased slightly and the single family dwelling tract home population has increased moderately to significantly in all quadrants.

4.6.3 Historical Maps Review (Sanborn Fire Insurance and USGS)

Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps were not reviewed for this report. Maps would not be available for undeveloped, and/or rural properties and the aerial photographs and historical USGS topography maps indicated an adequate history of the subject property

The USGS Topographical Map did not reveal anything not already discussed in the historical photo review above. The USGS topographic map review supports observations made during the aerial photograph review.

5 Regulatory Review

5.1 Purpose

The purpose of the regulatory review is to obtain and review regulatory agency records that will assist in the identification of recognized environmental conditions in connection with the subject property and neighboring properties within a one-mile radius. The regulatory review also includes visiting or contacting local regulatory agency representatives for interviews regarding the subject property or surrounding properties. The regulatory review is split into three major components (1) federal, (2) state, and (3) local. In addition to searching for the target property, government records were searched to locate adjoining properties within the ASTM recommended radius of the target property. The following is a summary of the sources/databases used to investigate the regulatory issues of the subject property and surrounding properties.

5.1.1 Orphan Properties identified within the database search.

Orphan properties are sites listed within the regulatory databases with uncertain locations. There were five orphan properties identified during the database review. Upon further investigation, all five were determined to be greater than one mile from the subject site and of no environmental concern for the subject property.

5.2 Federal

5.2.1 The National Priorities List (NPL)

The National Priority List is a federally maintained list of over 1,200 sites for priority cleanup under the Superfund Program.

No NPL sites were, identified within 1 mile of the subject property.

5.2.2 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System (CERCLIS)

This database contains information on potentially hazardous waste sites that have been reported to the USEPA by states, municipalities, private companies and private persons pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), also known as Superfund.

No CERCLIS site was identified within 0.5 mile of the subject property.

5.2.3 Comprehensive Environmental Response Conservation and Liability Act No Further Remedial Action Planned (CERCLA-NFRAP)

This database contains information on CERCLIS sites that have been investigated and designated as "No Further Remedial Action Planned" sites. NFRAP sites are removed from the CERCLIS database.

No CERCLIS-NFRAP sites were identified within 0.50 mile of the subject property.

5.2.4 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facilities (RCRA TSD)

The federally maintained RCRA databases include selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous wastes as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.

No RCRA TSD sites were identified within 0.5 mile of the subject property

5.2.5 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Large and Small Generators (RCRA Generators)

The federally maintained RCRA databases include selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous wastes as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.

No RCRA Small Quantity or Large Quantity Generators were identified within 1/4 mile of the subject property.

5.2.6 RCRA Corrective Action Sites (CORRACTS)

This database identifies hazardous waste handlers with RCRA corrective action activity.

No CORRACTS sites were identified within 1 mile of the subject property.

5.2.7 Emergency Response Notification System (ERNS)

The Emergency Response Notification System records information on reported releases of oil and hazardous substances.

The site is not listed in the ERNS database.

5.2.8 Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System (TRIS)

The Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System identifies facilities which release toxic chemicals into the air, water and land in reportable quantities under SARA Title III.

The site is not listed in the TRIS database.

5.3 State

5.3.1 California Hazardous Materials Incident Report System (CHMIRS)

The California Hazardous Incident Report System contains information on reported hazardous material incidents (accidental releases or spills)

The subject site is not listed in the CHMIRS database.

5.3.2 Cal-Sites

The Cal-Sites Database contains potential or confirmed hazardous substance release properties.

No Cal-Sites were identified within 1 mile of the subject site.

5.3.3 Solid Waste Information System (SWF/LF)

This database contains an inventory of solid waste disposal facilities or landfills (active, closed and inactive).

No SWF/LF sites were listed within 0.5 miles of the site.

5.3.4 California Waste Discharge System (WDS)

This database identifies sites which have been issued waste discharge requirements.

The site was not listed in the WDS database.

5.3.5 Cortese

The hazardous wastes and substances sites for this list are designated by the State Water Resources Control Board, the Integrated Waste Management Board and the Department of Toxic Substances Control.

No Cortese sites were identified within 1 mile of the subject property.

5.3.6 SWRCY

This database is a listing of recycling facilities throughout California.

No SWRCY sites were identified within 1/2 mile of the subject property.

5.3.7 Notify 65

Notify 65 contain facility records related to Proposition 65. These records include notifications about any release which could impact drinking water and thereby expose the public to a potential health risk.

No Notify 65 sites were identified within 1 mile of the subject property.

5.3.8 HAZNET

The information for this database is extracted from copies of hazardous waste manifests received each year by the Department of Toxic Substances Control.

The target property is not listed in this database.

5.3.9 UST

This database is a list of active underground storage tank facilities, which is compiled from local regulatory agencies.

No UST sites were identified within 0.25 mile of the subject property.

5.3.10 LUST

This database is maintained by the California State Water Resources Control Board to track leaking underground storage tanks.

No LUST sites were identified within 0.5 miles of the subject property.

5.3.11 Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database (HIST UST)

The Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database is a historical listing of underground storage tank sites.

No HIST UST sites were identified within 0.25 mile of the subject property.

5.3.12 SWEEPS UST

This is the Statewide Environmental Evaluation and Planning System. This underground storage tank listing was updated and maintained by a company contracted by the State Water Resources Control Board in the early 1980s. This listing is no longer updated or maintained.

No SWEEPS UST sites were identified within 0.25 mile of the subject property.

5.3.13 AST

This is a listing of property locations with registered aboveground storage tanks.

No AST sites were identified within 0.25 mile of the subject property.

5.3.14 RESPONSE

This database identifies confirmed release sites where DTSC is involved in remediation, either in a lead or oversight capacity. These confirmed release sites are generally high-priority and high potential risk.

No RESPONSE sites were identified within 1 mile of the subject property.

5.3.15 ENVIROSTOR

This database identifies sites known to DTSC to have contamination or sites for which there may be reasons to investigate further.

No ENVIROSTOR sites were identified within 1 mile of the subject property.

5.4 Local

5.4.1 County of Riverside Department of Environmental Health

A response to the request submitted to the County of Riverside Department of Environmental Health (CRDEH) was still being awaited at the time of publication of this report. Informal response indicates nothing to report; any deviations from this in their formal response will be forwarded immediately.

6 Site Reconnaissance

6.1 Hazardous Substance/Materials Usage, Storage, and Disposal

The site reconnaissance revealed:

- ESI did not identify any evidence of hazardous materials usage, storage or disposal on site.
- A previous Phase I Environmental Site Assessment prepared by LGC Inland, Inc. did not identify any recognized environmental concerns on the property at that time.
- A previous Phase I Environmental Site Assessment prepared by EcoTech, Inc. for the adjacent property to the east did not recognize any environmental concerns on or near the property at that time.

6.2 Radon

According to statistics reported in the EDR report, of the five sites tested for radon gas in this area of Riverside County, CA, no sites reported test results greater than the Federal EPA regulation of 4 pCi/L. This site falls into EPA Radon Zone 2 for Riverside County, CA, which qualifies the indoor average radon level to be greater than or equal to 2pCi/L and less than or equal to 4 pCi/L. The Zone 1, 2 and 3 indoor averages from the twelve total sites tested in Riverside County were 0.117 pCi/L, 0.450 pCi/L and 1.700 pCi/L, respectively.

6.3 Electrical Transformers

The local utility company owns all power transformers. All utility owned electrical power transformers are believed to be PCB free, with mineral oils being used as a coolant source. Two transformers were observed mounted to power poles on the property, but they are not considered to pose an environmental threat to the subject site.

6.4 Underground Storage Tanks

Local site conditions were observed for evidence of underground storage tanks (vent pipes, fill lines, etc). No USTs were identified during the site investigation.

Active USTs (ten years or older) must be tested by a qualified testing firm and certified to be in tight condition. If deactivated USTs are found, verification must be provided that the abandoned tanks are deactivated in accordance with API or NFPA standards.

6.5 ASTs, Drums, Containers and Storage Vessels

A visual reconnaissance of the site was conducted to address containers, drums, aboveground storage tanks (AST), and other storage units containing materials that may pose an environmental or human health threat. No ASTs or drums were observed during the site reconnaissance.

6.6 Airstacks, Vents and Odors

A visual reconnaissance addressed air stacks, vents (other than vents used for plumbing and HVAC systems) and the presence of strong, pungent or noxious odors. None were observed at or near the subject site.

6.7 Hazardous Waste Storage and Disposal

The visual reconnaissance addressed dumps, pits, ponds, landfills, borrows, and lagoons that may have been used for waste disposal. No evidence of any hazardous waste storage or disposal was found on the subject site.

6.8 Identification of Asbestos Containing Materials

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment does not normally include the sampling of suspect soils, but does include a visual walk through of the property for suspect asbestos containing materials (ACM). At the time of the site reconnaissance, there was no ACM or otherwise suspect materials viewed or identified. No analytical samples were collected during this investigation. No geologic testing was conducted for the purpose of establishing the presence or absence of naturally occurring serpentine ore.

6.9 Lead Containing Materials

The use of lead-based paints was banned from residential application in 1978. No structures built prior to 1978 are currently present on the property.

6.10 Surface Staining and Distressed Vegetation

The site reconnaissance included visual inspection for indications of environmental conditions such as stained soils, surface discoloration and/or stressed vegetation. These can be indicators of chemical spillage or dumping. No evidence of soil staining or distressed vegetation was observed during the site reconnaissance.

6.11 Surface Drainage

The visual reconnaissance addressed surface drainage to and from the subject site. No evidence of irregular drainage was in evidence at the subject site at the time of the site reconnaissance. The property has been rough graded, and will likely be contoured to address surface drainage issues, if any.

6.12 Conduits to Groundwater

No conduits to groundwater were observed on the subject property during the site reconnaissance.

7 Conclusions and Recommendations

7.1 Subject Property

Based upon the site reconnaissance, aerial photograph reviews, USGS topographic map review, previous Phase I Environmental Site Assessment reviews and regulatory agency file review, ESI did not identify any current on-site environmental concerns in connection with the subject property.

7.2 Off-site

Based upon the site reconnaissance, aerial photograph reviews, USGS topographic map review, previous Phase I Environmental Site Assessment reviews and regulatory agency file review, ESI did not identify any off-site environmental concerns in connection with the subject property.

7.3 Recommendations

Based on items 7.1 and 7.2 above, ESI makes no recommendations for additional work at this time.

8 Report Limitations

The findings and analyses contained in this Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report have been prepared by the professional staff of ESI in accordance with generally accepted professional practices and from the guidance within the standard practice of ASTM E-1527-05 and All Appropriate Inquiries (AAI).

EnviroSoil, Inc. offers no assurance and assumes no responsibilities for site conditions or any activities, which are beyond the scope of the inquiry requested by the Client. This Phase I Environmental Site Assessment was based primarily upon information gathered from third party sources, and reflects conditions at the subject property at the time of this survey. It should be understood by the client that, in developing this or future reports, EnviroSoil, Inc. will rely upon the accuracy of the documents, oral information and other information provided by the owners, tenants, regulatory agency personnel, etc. and an examination of regulatory lists and documents, in addition to a site visit. EnviroSoil, Inc. has made no independent investigations as to the accuracy or completeness of the information derived from these sources and assumes that the information provided was accurate and complete. There are no guarantees, nor are there any warranties, expressed or implied, that *non-permitted* subsurface containers, such as drums, underground storage tanks, or covered, encapsulated or un-encapsulated, non-accessible or non-observed hazardous materials do not exist on the subject property.

In addition, changes in the conditions of the property can occur with the passage of time; whether, due to natural processes or the result of man on the subject property or the adjacent properties. Changes in applicable or appropriate standards may occur due to the changes in legislation or the broadening of knowledge. Accordingly, the findings of this report may be invalidated wholly or partially by time or conditions outside of EnviroSoil, Inc. control.

9 Reliance and Assignments

The findings and analyses set forth in this report are strictly limited in time and scope to the date of the evaluation(s) and is the property and for the sole use of our Client. However; EnviroSoil, Inc. may, upon written authorization and instruction from the Client, provide copies of this report, and then only in total, to whom ever instructed. Additionally, Client, without any permission from EnviroSoil, Inc. may assign this report to person(s) or entity(s) of their choice and all of the same entitlements, restrictions, and limitations apply to the new entity(s) as if they were the original requestor of services.

10 nature of Environmental Professional(s)

EnviroSoil, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to have prepared this Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for our Client RMEC, LLC. Should any questions or comments arise, please feel free to contact us at (951) 204-1500.

Respectfully submitted,

EnviroSoil, Inc.



Peter Rathbun, REA - 08187
General Manager



Section 11.0

Appendices

**Appendix A –
Figures**


**Appendix B –
Government Documents**

**Appendix C –
Miscellaneous Information**

Appendix D –

Site Reconnaissance Photographs

**Appendix E –
EDR Report**




- ★ Target Property
- ▲ Sites at elevations higher than or equal to the target property
- Sites at elevations lower than the target property
- ▲ Manufactured Gas Plants
- 🇺🇸 National Priority List Sites
- 🇺🇸 Dept. Defense Sites
- 🇺🇸 Indian Reservations BIA
- 🛢️ Oil & Gas pipelines
- 🌊 100-year flood zone
- 🌊 500-year flood zone
- 🔴 Areas of Concern

This report includes Interactive Map Layers to display and/or hide map information. This legend includes only those icons for the default map view.

SITE NAME: Project X ADDRESS: Clinton Keith Rd./Elizabeth Ln. Wilton CA 92595 LAT/LONG: 33.4975 / 117.2282	CLIENT: EnviroSci, Inc. CONTACT: Peter Rathbun INQUIRY #: 2963580_2s DATE: December 27, 2010 11:43 am
--	--

Overview map of target property in USGS 7.5 Quadrangle format. (refer to Appendix D for more detail)







Jana Ln

Google

Eye alt 3271 ft

Elizabeth Ln

RMEC Project



Clinton Keith Rd

© 2010 Google 33°35'44.59" N 117°13'46.48" W elev 1371 ft

Imagery Date: Nov 16, 2009