Page 1 of 6

City of Wildomar

GRADING PLAN CHECKLIST

		PROJECT NUMBER MAP LOT/PCL		PROJE	CT NAME_				
		PLANS AND PHASE REVIEWED [Rough/Precise Grade, Pl	hase (if app	plicable)] _					
		PLAN CHECKER		DATE			,		
			1 st CHECK	2 ^{№D} CHECK	3 RD CHECK	MYLAR	C	COMMENTS	
١.	ALI	SHEETS							
	Α.	Medium							
		1. 24"x36" size Mylar film conforming to City format							
		2. No "sticky back", glued or taped on sections							
		3. Drawn with waterproof ink or reproduced on photographic emulsion Mylar film, no Diazo or Zerographic copies							
	В.	Signed by the Engineer of Record, date of expiration of registration adjacent to signature							
	C.	Marked with the name, address and telephone number of the firm preparing the plans and date of preparation							
	D.	Consecutively numbered & the total number of sheets							
	E.	Lettered in a neat and legible style, no hand lettering smaller than 1/8" and no machine letter smaller than 1/10"							
	F.	Name and phase of development							
	G.	City benchmark identification, location and elevation noted							
	Н.	Basis of bearing provided							
	١.	Prepared to appropriate scale(s)							
	J.	Scale noted. North arrow & bar scale							
	К.	Use standard plans and details to maximum extent							

						MYLAR	COMMENTS
	L.	Clearly designate between existing conditions and work proposed	CHECK	CHECK	CHECK		
	M.	No duplication of any section or detail designation					
п.	тіт	LE SHEET					
	A.	General Notes provided					
	В.	Additional notes are designated as "Special Notes"					
	C.	Index Map					
		1. Scale is 1" = 100' or 1" = 500'					
		2. Sheet coverage shown					
		3. Located on Title Sheet					
		4. Street Names shown					
	D.	Vicinity Map			r		
		1. Orient north as on key map					
		2. Arterial streets shown					
		3. Project boundary street shown					
	E.	Legend					
		1. Symbols per City standards					
		2. Non-standard symbols and abbreviations used are listed and described					
	F.	Approval block for City Engineer contains approval statement					
	G.	Certification statement for the soils engineer (see below): "These grading plans have been reviewed by me or under my direction and conform to the recommendations made in the soils report/geotechnical report entitled prepared by and dated [STAMP] Soils Engineer's Name License No.					

					3 RD	MYLAR	COMMENTS
	н.	Standard Title block	CHECK	CHECK	CHECK		
	I.	Owners/Developers name and address shown					
	J.	Separate written justification for deviations provided					
	К.	Quantity estimates provided and broken out between public and private					
ш.	GR/	ADING PLANS					
	A.	Scale clearly conveys required information without crowding $1'' = 40'$ maximum					
	В.	Grading limits, property/tract boundary, phase boundaries, lot boundaries, lot numbers shown					
	C.	Yardage figures: cut, fill, import/export shown					
	D.	% grade and flow line arrows shown in streets, cul-de- sacs and knuckles.					
	E.	TC elevations at GB, BCR, ECR, VC, EC, BVCR, ECVR					
	F.	Vertical curve called out					
	G.	FL elevations for cross gutter intersections provided					
	Н.	Existing contours shown at 1' intervals (2' if natural slopes exceed 10%) and screened to background; 100' beyond construction boundary					
	I.	Pad elevation and finished floor elevations shown					
	J.	Lot swale with H.P. labeled and elevations provided, 1% minimum slope					
	K.	Typical lot grading and sections show:					
		 Slope from pad to swale at 5% minimum for the first 10', per CBC Section 1804.3, 2% minimum thereafter, 21% maximum a. If 5% minimum is not provided, engineer must provide the following exception statement within Grading Note No. 15: "The engineer of record has determined 					
		 a. If 5% minimum is not provided, engineer must provide the following exception statement within Grading Note No. 15: "The engineer of record has determined that considering the site conditions 					

		1 ST	2 ND	3 RD	MYLAR	COMMENTS
	including the soils and the climate, the	CHECK	CHECK	CHECK		
	proposed site drainage slopes shall be satisfactory and do not warrant the more					
	conservative requirements specified by					
	the building code."					
	2. Slope from property line to swale at 2% minimum,					
	2:1 maximum					
	3. Distance from pad to swale 3' minimum at the side					
	4. Swale 6" minimum below side property line					
	5. Minimum distance from pad to property line					
	6. Screen walls					
	 Elevations at back of lot on typical lot grading or provided for each lot on the plan 					
L.	Slope setbacks per Chapter 18 and Appendix Chapter 33 of UBC					
M.	Maximum slopes at 2:1 unless approved by soils engineer					
N.	Slopes clearly designated with degree of slope shown					
0.	No sheet flow drainage allowed over manufactured slopes exceeding 10% except in approved drainage device					
Ρ.	Interceptor drain provided at toe of slopes where drainage path to top of slope exceeds 25' (as supported by soils report).					
Q.	Existing improvements and buildings shown on site and within 100' of boundary with disposition noted					
R.	Daylight lines with spot elevations or contours show where matching existing					
S.	Finished floor elevations of nearby buildings provided					
T.	Details and sections shown for all drainage facilities that are not provided in improvement plans					
U.	Easements shown and dedications language provided					

		1 ST CHECK	2 ND CHECK	3 RD CHECK	MYLAR	COMMENTS
in	plan and sectional detail					
V. Re an	taining and screen walls shown in plan view and notated to be constructed under separate permit					
W. TM pro	V/FS elevations provided or height of earth retained ovided along retaining walls					
X. Se an	ctions provided showing tract and lot boundaries d walls					
Y. WI ma	here height of retained earth varies, varies with aximum height called out in section					
Z. Fo	oting and wall are outside of right-of-way					
AA. No ev sai	earth retention allowed against non-retaining wall en with modifications unless constructed by the me owner					
BB. Sig lat	ght triangles provided and sight easement areas beled with type of surface indicated					
CC. Of	fsite flows affecting tract addressed					
DD. Wi	ritten notarized permission provided from the vner for construction outside of property boundary					
EE. Mi	inimum acceptable gradients:					
1.	Earth 1%					
2.	Lot swale 1%					
3.	Asphalt concrete 0.5%					
4.	Concrete in earth 0.5%					
5.	Concrete in A.C. 0.5%					
6.	Terrace drains 0.5%					
FF. Co co	ncentrated drainage exceeding 5% gradient in ncrete or other approved non-erosive device					
GG. Be	nchmark & bearing reference called out					
HH. Na	ame, address & phone number of owner, soil					

	1 ST CHECK	2 ND CHECK	3 RD CHECK	MYLAR	COMMENTS
engineer and engineer of record shown on plan	onzon		onzon		
 II. Velocity reducers provided where drains discharge onto natural ground. If rip rap specify class, thickness & size 					
JJ. Area Drains per City Std. No 310 with note indicating that "Area Drains must outlet to a full-height curb, not within driveway wings."					
KK. Sewer manhole rim elevations provided on precise grading plans to verify the need for backwater valves.				Ζ.	
LL. Backwater valves identified on lots requiring them.					
MM. Deepened Footings are shown where required.					
NN. Adequate access for lots/easements requiring access is provided.					
IV. COST ESTIMATE					
A. All items of construction/demolition shown					
B. Units of measure same as on unit price list					
C. Standard unit price list used					
D. Standard unit prices are appropriate					
E. Special unit prices are justified					
F. Quantities are correct					
G. City contingency added					
V. EROSION CONTROL					
 Provide appropriate facilities to eliminate sediment & debris from entering public facilities 					
Provide 24 hour phone number for emergencies					