

Independent Accountant's Report On Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

The Board of Commissioners Riverside County Transportation Commission Riverside, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) (specified party), solely to assist RCTC in determining whether the City of Wildomar, California (the City) (responsible party), was in compliance with the Measure A Local Streets and Roads Program grant terms and conditions for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. The City's management is responsible for compliance with the grant terms and conditions of the Measure A Local Streets and Roads Program. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and related findings are as follows:

1. Review the 2009 Measure A (Ordinance 02-001) compliance requirements. Western County jurisdictions are required to participate in the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) program and in the Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP), which are administered by the Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG) and the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (RCA), respectively. Coachella Valley jurisdictions are required to participate in the TUMF program administered by the Coachella Valley Association of Governments (CVAG). Indicate participation in TUMF and/or MSHCP programs.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying this procedure. The City participates in the TUMF program administered by WRCOG and the MSHCP administered by RCA.

2. Obtain from RCTC the approved Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for the fiscal year.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying this procedure.

- 3. Obtain from the jurisdiction a detail general ledger and balance sheet for the fiscal year.
 - a. Identify the amount of Measure A cash and investments recorded at the end of the fiscal year. Compare amount to Measure A fund balance and provide an explanation for any differences greater than 25% of fund balance.

Finding: Measure A cash and investments were \$129,263 at June 30, 2017. The difference between Measure A cash and investments of \$129,263 and fund balance of \$275,786 was \$146,523 or 53% of the fund balance. Per discussion with City management, the difference is attributable to a due from other governments balance in the amount of \$176,026 related to April June 2017 funds received from RCTC in fiscal year 2017-18 offset by Vouchers Payable and other liabilities totaling \$29,503.

b. Identify any amounts due from other funds.

Finding: There were no amounts due from other funds at June 30, 2017.

c. Identify the components of ending fund balance for the Measure A activity (e.g., nonspendable, restricted, assigned, committed, unassigned).

Finding: The ending fund balance for Measure A activity was restricted in the amount of \$275,786 at June 30, 2017.

d. Identify the existence of any restatement of Measure A fund balance; inquire of management as to the reason for any restatement and provide a summary of the restatement items.

Finding: We noted no restatement of the Measure A fund balance reported at June 30, 2016.

- 4. Obtain an operating statement for the Measure A activity for the fiscal year, including budget amounts; include the operating statement as an exhibit to the report.
 - a. Review the revenues in the operating statement.
 - i. Inquire of management as to what fund is used to record Measure A revenues received from RCTC and identify what the total revenues were for the fiscal year.

Finding: The City accounts for Measure A revenues in its Measure A Fund (Fund #201). The City recorded total revenues in the amount of \$634,695 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 (refer to Exhibit A).

ii. Obtain from RCTC a listing of Measure A payments to the jurisdiction. Compare the Measure A sales tax revenues recorded by the jurisdiction to the listing of payments made by RCTC.

Finding: We identified a variance of \$16,708 between the Measure A revenues recorded by the City and the RCTC Measure A payment schedule. The difference is due to a fiscal year 2016 clean-up adjustment payment from RCTC in the amount of \$16,708, recorded by the City in fiscal year 2017. The following schedule summarizes these differences. The following schedule summarizes these differences.

			City of		
	RCTC	Wildomar			
Measure A revenue recorded 2016 clean-up adjustment payment	\$ 601,837	\$	618,545 (16,708)		
Measure A revenue reconciled	\$ 601,837	\$	601,837		

- iii. Obtain from the jurisdiction an interest allocation schedule for the fiscal year.
 - 1. Identify the allocation amount of interest income to Measure A activity and what the amount of interest income was for the fiscal year. If no interest was allocated, inquire of management as to reason for not allocating interest income.

Finding: The City allocated interest in the amount of \$122 to Measure A activity for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

- b. Review the expenditures in the operating statement.
 - i. Inquire of management as to what fund is used to record Measure A expenditures and what the total expenditures were for the fiscal year.

Finding: The City accounts for Measure A expenditures in its Measure A Fund (Fund #201). The City recorded total Measure A expenditures in the amount of \$522,315 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 (refer to Exhibit A).

ii. Select expenditures for testing that comprise at least 20% of the total Measure A expenditures.

Finding: The City recorded Measure A expenditures in the amount of \$522,315. We selected \$128,623 or 25%, for testing.

1. For the expenditures selected for testing, compare the dollar amount listed on the general ledger to the supporting documentation.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying this procedure.

2. For the expenditures selected for testing, review the Five-Year CIP and note if the project is included in the Five-Year CIP and is an allowable cost.

Finding: The expenditures selected for testing were included in the Five-Year CIP and were allowable costs. No exceptions were noted as a result of applying this procedure.

iii. Inquire of management as to the nature of any transfers in or out recorded in the Measure A Fund. For any transfers out, determine if nature of transfer out was included in the Five-Year CIP.

Finding: We noted transfers out from the Measure A Fund in the amount of \$41,000. This transfer represents Public Works Cost Allocation that is included on the Five-Year CIP.

iv. Inquire of management as to the amount of general or non-project-related indirect costs, if any, included in expenditures. If indirect costs exceed 8% of Measure A revenue, inquire of management as to the basis for indirect costs charged to Measure A. If indirect costs are identified, determine if such costs are included in the Five-Year CIP.

Finding: Per discussion with City management, general or non-project-related indirect costs of \$41,000 were included in Measure A expenditures during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, which is 6.6% of Measure A revenue of \$618,545 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Indirect costs were included in the City's Five-Year CIP within Transfers Out. No exceptions were noted as a result of applying this procedure.

v. Inquire of management as to the amount of debt service expenditures recorded in the Measure A fund.

1. For cities with advance funding agreements with RCTC, compare debt service expenditures to Measure A payments withheld by RCTC.

Finding: There was no advance funding agreement with RCTC noted.

2. For cities with other indebtedness, determine if such costs are included in the Five-Year CIP.

Finding: There were no debt service expenditures recorded in the Measure A Fund that were noted as a result of applying this procedure.

5. Compare the budgeted expenditures to actual amounts; inquire of management as to the nature of significant budget variances.

Finding: The following schedule compares budgeted expenditures to actual amounts.

	Budget		Actual		Variance	
Personnel costs	\$	49,100	\$	1,577	\$	47,523
Construction and maintenance		547,000		520,738		26,262
Total expenditures	\$	596,100	\$	522,315	\$	73,785

Per discussion with City management, the variance in budget to actual personnel costs is due to lower than expected actual time incurred for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. The variance in construction and maintenance is due to increased costs for citywide construction and maintenance for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

- 6. Obtain from RCTC a listing of jurisdictions who participate in the Western County or Coachella Valley TUMF programs.
 - a. If the jurisdiction is a participant in the TUMF program, select at least one disbursement for validation as to the amount remitted to WRCOG or CVAG, as applicable.

Finding: We selected one disbursement in the amount of \$346,047. The payment selected for testing indicated that TUMF was collected and remitted to WRCOG, as required.

b. Indicate the total amount of TUMF fees collected and remitted during the fiscal year.

Finding: The total amounts of TUMF fees collected and remitted during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, were \$1,710,994 and \$1,711,142, respectively. The difference of \$148 was due to a timing difference between collections and remittances.

- 7. Obtain from RCTC a listing of jurisdictions who participate in the Western County MSHCP program.
 - a. If the jurisdiction is a participant in the MSHCP program, select at least one disbursement for validation as to the amount remitted to RCA, as applicable.

Finding: We selected one disbursement in the amount of \$77,688. The payment selected for testing indicated that MSHCP was collected and remitted to RCA, as required.

b. Inquire of management as to the existence of any fees collected in prior years and not remitted to RCA as of the end of the fiscal year.

Finding: Per discussion with City management, there were no fees collected in prior years and not remitted to RCA as of the end of the fiscal year.

c. Indicate the total amount of MSHCP fees collected and remitted during the fiscal year.

Finding: The total amounts of MSHCP fees collected and remitted during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, were \$380,864 and \$380,845, respectively.

- 8. Obtain from RCTC the Maintenance of Effort (MOE) base year requirement, including supporting detail of the calculations for the City, and the carryover amount allowed as of the beginning of the fiscal year.
 - a. Obtain from the City a calculation of its current year MOE amount in the format similar to its base year calculation. Attach a copy of the calculation worksheet provided by the City as an exhibit to the report.

Finding: There is no MOE base requirement for the City.

b. Compare the current year MOE amounts from the General Fund to the general ledger.

Finding: There is no MOE base requirement for the City.

c. Review the General Fund general ledger to determine if there were any transfers in to fund any MOE amounts.

Finding: There is no MOE base requirement for the City.

d. Compare the amount of current year MOE expenditures to the MOE base requirement and add any excess to, or subtract any deficiency from, the carryover amount.

Finding: There is no MOE base requirement for the City.

e. If the amount of discretionary funds spent is less than the MOE base requirement (MOE deficiency), determine the amount of any prior year MOE carryover using the information obtained from RCTC, and reduce the MOE deficiency by any available MOE carryover to determine an adjusted current year expenditure amount.

Finding: There is no MOE base requirement for the City.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the City's compliance with the grant terms and conditions of the Measure A Local Streets and Roads Program. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Commissioners and management of RCTC and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than this specified party.

Macias Gihi & O'Cohhell D

Newport Beach, California

February 9, 2018

CITY OF WILDOMAR, CALIFORNIA Measure A Operating Statement For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Unaudited)

	Budget		Actual	V	Variance	
Revenues:						
Measure A	\$	576,000	\$ 618,545	\$	42,545	
Interest Income		-	122		122	
Miscellaneous Income		16,100	16,028		(72)	
Total revenues		592,100	634,695		42,595	
Expenditures:						
Personnel Costs		49,100	1,577		47,523	
Construction and Maintenance		547,000	520,738		26,262	
Transfers Out		41,000	41,000			
Total expenditures		637,100	563,315		73,785	
Deficiency of revenues						
under expenditures	\$	(45,000)	\$ 71,380	\$	116,380	